arXiv:1606.05424v2 [math.QA] 20 Jun 2016

AUTOMORPHISMS AND IDEALS OF NONCOMMUTATIVE DEFORMATIONS OF $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$

XIAOJUN CHEN, ALIMJON ESHMATOV, FARKHOD ESHMATOV, AND VYACHESLAV FUTORNY

Abstract. Let $O_\tau(\Gamma)$ be a family of algebras *quantizing* the coordinate ring of $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$, where Γ is a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, and let G_Γ be the automorphism group of O_τ. We study the natural action of G_Γ on the space of right ideals of O_τ (equivalently, finitely generated rank 1 projective O_τ-modules). It is known that the later can be identified with disjoint union of algebraic (quiver) varieties, and this identification is G_Γ-equivariant. In the present paper, when $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, we show that the G_Γ-action on each quiver variety is transitive. We also show that the natural embedding of G_Γ into $\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{C}}(O_\tau)$, the Picard group of O_τ, is an isomorphism. These results are used to prove that there are countably many non-isomorphic algebras Morita equivalent to O_τ, and explicit presentation of these algebras are given. Since algebras $O_\tau(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ are isomorphic to primitive factors of $U(sl_2)$, we obtain a complete description of algebras Morita equivalent to primitive factors. A structure of the group G_Γ, where Γ is an arbitrary cyclic group, is also investigated. Our results generalize earlier results obtained for the (first) Weyl algebra A_1 in [BW1, BW2] and [S].

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{C}\langle x, y\rangle$ be the free associative algebra on two generators. Then a finite subgroup $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ acts naturally on $\mathbb{C}\langle x, y\rangle$, and we can form the crossed product $R := \mathbb{C}\langle x, y\rangle * \Gamma$. For each $\tau \in Z(\mathbb{C}\Gamma)$, the center of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$, let

$$\mathcal{S}_\tau(\Gamma) \,:=\, R/(xy - yx - \tau)\,, \quad O_\tau(\Gamma) = e\mathcal{S}_\tau e\,,$$

where e is the symmetrizing idempotent $\sum_{g\in\Gamma} g/|\Gamma|$ in $\mathbb{C}\Gamma \subset \mathcal{S}_\tau$. These algebras were introduced in [CBH]. One can easily verify that the associated graded algebra of O_τ with respect to its natural filtration is $\mathbb{C}[x, y]^\Gamma$. Hence algebras O_τ can be viewed as a *quantization* of the coordinate ring of the classical Kleinian singularity $\mathbb{C}^2/\!\!/\Gamma$. When $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ the algebra O_τ is isomorphic to a primitive factor of the enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$. On the other hand when $\tau = 1$ then O_τ is isomorphic to the algebra of Γ-invariant elements of A_1.

Let $\mathcal{R}^\tau_\Gamma$ be the set of isomorphism classes of right ideals of O_τ. Since, for generic values of τ, O_τ is a simple hereditary domain, the set $\mathcal{R}^\tau_\Gamma$ can be identified with that of isomorphism classes of finitely generated rank one projective O_τ-modules. In [BGK], a bijective map Ω was constructed from $\mathcal{R}^\tau_\Gamma$ to the disjoint union of quiver varieties $\mathfrak{M}^\tau(Q_\Gamma)$, where Q_Γ is the quiver associated to Γ under the McKay correspondence. Later in [E], the third author gave another more explicit construction of Ω. Moreover, he showed that the group $G_\Gamma := \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(O_\tau)$ acts naturally on each $\mathfrak{M}^\tau(Q_\Gamma)$ and proved that Ω is a G_Γ-equivariant bijection.

In the case when $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, the above G_Γ-equivariant bijective map is given by

$$\Omega :\, \mathfrak{M}^\tau(Q_\Gamma) \;=\; \bigsqcup_{\substack{\epsilon=0,1\\(m,n)\in L_\epsilon}} \mathfrak{M}^\tau_\Gamma(m, n; \epsilon) \;\longrightarrow\; \mathcal{R}^\tau_\Gamma\,, \tag{1.1}$$

where L_ϵ is a subset $(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^2$ of dimension vectors of quiver representations Q_Γ and $\epsilon = 0$ or 1 are indices corresponding to the trivial and the sign representations of $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Since the group G_Γ acts on

each $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma^\tau(m,n;\epsilon)$, we have the corresponding decomposition of $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma^\tau$:

$$\mathcal{R}_\Gamma^\tau = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\epsilon=0,1\\(m,n)\in L_\epsilon}} \mathcal{R}_\Gamma^\tau(m,n;\epsilon)\,,$$

where $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma^\tau(m,n;\epsilon) := \Omega(\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma^\tau(m,n;\epsilon))$.

The initial motivation for [BGK] and [E] came from a series of papers [BW1, BW2] by Y. Berest and G. Wilson. They showed that the space $\mathcal{R}$ of isomorphism classes of right ideals in A_1 are parametrized by the disjoint union of the *Calogero-Moser* algebraic varieties $\mathcal{C} = \sqcup_{n\geq 0}\mathcal{C}_n$:

$$\mathcal{C}_n := \{(X,Y)\in \mathtt{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})\times \mathtt{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C}) \,:\, \mathtt{rk}([X,Y]+I_n)=1\}/\mathtt{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})\,,$$

where $\mathtt{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ acts on (X,Y) by simultaneous conjugation. The space $\mathcal{C}_n$ is a basic example of quiver varieties: it is the quiver variety corresponding to n-dimensional representations of the quiver with one vertex and one loop. They defined an algebraic action of the group $G := \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(A_1)$ on each $\mathcal{C}_n$ and showed that the bijective correspondence between $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is G-equivariant. Moreover, they proved that this action is transitive, that is, each $\mathcal{C}_n$ is a G-orbit.

In [BL], motivated by the transitivity of the G-action on $\mathcal{C}_n$, R. Bocklandt and L. Le Bruyn proposed the following conjecture. For any quiver Q, they defined the algebra $A_Q := \mathbb{C}[N_Q]\otimes \mathbb{C}\bar{Q}$, where N_Q is the *necklace* Lie algebra of Q and $\mathbb{C}\bar{Q}$ is the path algebra of the double of Q. Then they claimed that the group $\mathrm{Aut}_\omega(A_Q)$, the automorphism group of A_Q preserving the symplectic element $\omega\in\mathbb{C}\bar{Q}$, acts transitively on quiver varieties of α-dimensional representations of Q for an appropriate choice of the dimension vector α. Since G_Γ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}_\omega(A_{Q_\Gamma})$, our first result is a proof the Bocklandt-Le Bruyn conjecture for $\mathbb{Z}_2$ cyclic quiver varieties:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\Gamma\cong\mathbb{Z}_2$. Then G_Γ acts transitively on each $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma^\tau(m,n;\epsilon)$.*

The proof of transitivity in Theorem 1.1 has some advantages over that of Berest-Wilson. Most notably, it is purely geometric and does not use any fact from integrable systems. In fact, we will first give an alternative proof for transitivity of the G action on $\mathcal{C}_n$.

Let us briefly outline our approach. We will show that $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma^\tau(m,n;\epsilon)$ is G_Γ-*flexible*, i.e., the (co)tangent space at each point of this variety is spanned by the (co)tangent vectors to the orbits of G_Γ. To this end, we construct a family of functions $\{f_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ such that $\{df_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ span the cotangent space at each point. More precisely, we consider flows of two one-parameter subgroups of G_Γ on $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma^\tau(m,n;\epsilon)$. Then, since the action of G_Γ is symplectic, we show that these are Hamiltonian flows of a family functions generating the algebra of functions $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma^\tau(m,n;\epsilon))$, which implies the G_Γ-flexibility. Finally, using the fact that $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma^\tau(m,n;\epsilon)$ is connected, we obtain that the later is a single orbit.

In general, we expect:

Conjecture 1.1. *For $\Gamma=\mathbb{Z}_m (m\geq 3)$, G_Γ acts transitively on each $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma^\tau$.*

The transitivity of the G-action on $\mathcal{C}_n$ in combination with Stafford's theorem $G\cong \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{C}}(A_1)$ (see [S, Corollary E]) gives the following remarkable result. Let D be a domain, which is Morita equivalent to A_1. Then all such algebras D are classified, up to algebra isomorphism, by a single integer $n\geq 0$; the corresponding isomorphism classes are represented by the endomorphism rings $D_n := \mathrm{End}_{A_1}(P_n)$ of the ideal $P_n := x^{n+1}A_1 + (xy+n)A_1$. Note here A_1 appears as the first member in the family $\{D_n\}_{n\geq 0}$.

Our next goal in this paper is to describe algebras Morita equivalent to $O_\tau(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. For that one needs to describe the orbits of $\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{C}}(O_\tau)$ group on the space $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma^\tau$. Since G_Γ is only a subgroup of $\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{C}}(O_\tau)$, the orbits of the later contain G_Γ-orbits. By Theorem 1.1, the G_Γ-orbits on $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma^\tau$ are

exactly $\mathcal{R}^\tau_\Gamma(m,n;\epsilon)$ and for any $P,Q \in \mathcal{R}^\tau_\Gamma(m,n;\epsilon)$, we have an isomorphism of algebras

$$\mathrm{End}_{O_\tau}(P) \cong \mathrm{End}_{O_\tau}(Q)\,. \tag{1.2}$$

Note that $\mathcal{R}^\tau_\Gamma(0,0;0)$ consists of a single point, the isomorphism class of the cyclic ideal O_τ, and clearly its endomorphism algebra is isomorphic to O_τ. So it is natural to ask if endomorphism rings of two ideals chosen from distinct G_Γ-orbits are non-isomorphic. This is equivalent to the question whether G_Γ and $\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{C}}(O_\tau)$-orbits are the same. To answer this question, we recall that the ideal P_n of A_1 defined above is an ideal corresponding to a special point in $\mathcal{C}_n$. More explicitly, it corresponds to a $\mathbb{C}^*$-fixed point in $\mathcal{C}_n$ under a subgroup action of $\mathbb{C}^* \subset G$. Similarly, G_Γ has a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$, and it is direct to show that there are only finitely many $\mathbb{C}^*$-fixed points on $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_\Gamma(m,n;\epsilon)$. For each triple $(m,n;\epsilon)$, we construct explicitly a $\mathbb{C}^*$-fixed point of $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_\Gamma(m,n;\epsilon)$. Let $P^{(\epsilon)}_{m,n} \in \mathcal{R}^\tau_\Gamma(m,n;\epsilon)$ be the image of this fixed point under Ω. Then we shall prove:

Theorem 1.2. $\mathrm{End}_{O_\tau}(P^{(\epsilon)}_{m,n}) \ncong O_\tau$ *unless* $(m,n;\epsilon) = (0,0;0)$.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is similar to that of Smith [Sm1], where he showed that $\mathrm{End}_{A_1}(P_1) \ncong A_1$. We will give an explicit presentation of the ideals $P^{(\epsilon)}_{m,n}$ in terms of generators of O_τ and an explicit description of $\mathrm{End}_{O_\tau}(P^{(\epsilon)}_{m,n})$ as a subring of the field of fractions of O_τ. Using this presentation, we show the nonexistence of an isomorphism.

It follows immediately from Theorem 1.2 that (1.2) holds if and only if $P,Q \in \mathcal{R}^\tau_\Gamma(m,n;\epsilon)$, and hence we have proved:

Corollary 1.1. *Let D be a domain Morita equivalent to O_τ. Then there exists a unique triple $(m,n;\epsilon) \in L_\epsilon \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ such that $D \cong \mathrm{End}_{O_\tau}(P^{(\epsilon)}_{m,n})$.*

Morita equivalence of primitive factors of $U(sl_2)$ was studied by Hodges in [H1]. Explicitly, he classified them up to Morita equivalence using the Hattori-Stallings trace. Our result generalizes that by giving a description of all algebras equivalent to O_τ not only among primitive factors.

By Corollary 1.1, the G_Γ-orbits and $\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{C}}(O_\tau)$-orbits on $\mathcal{R}^\tau_\Gamma$ coincide, and therefore, we can prove the following generalization of Stafford's result for A_1 (see [S, Corollary E]):

Theorem 1.3. *The group monomorphism $G_\Gamma \to \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{C}}(O_\tau)$ is an isomorphism.*

The second part of [S, Corollary E] states that if D is Morita equivalent to A_1 but $D \ncong A_1$ then $\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{C}}(D)/\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(D)$ is an infinite coset space. This means the automorphism group is an invariant distinguishing A_1 from D. In fact, Stafford suggested a conjecture that this might be the case for a large class of algebras similar to A_1 (see [S, p.625]). However, the algebra O_τ provides a counterexample for this. We will describe triples $(n,m;\epsilon) \neq (0,0;0)$ such that $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{End}(P^{(\epsilon)}_{m,n})) \cong \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{End}(P^{(\epsilon)}_{m,n}))$, which, by Morita equivalence, will imply $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{End}(P^{(\epsilon)}_{m,n})) \cong \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(O_\tau)$.

In the proof of Theorem 1.1, we have used generators of the group G_Γ discovered by Bavula and Jordan in [BJ]. For $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_m$, they proved that G_Γ is generated by three abelian subgroups Θ_λ, $\Psi_{n,\lambda}$ and $\Phi_{n,\lambda}$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ (see (2.14)-(2.16) for definition). For $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, these generators were originally found in Fleury [F], who also showed that G_Γ can be presented as the coproduct $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) *_U \langle \Theta_\lambda, \Phi_{n,\lambda}\rangle$, where $U := \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \cap \langle \Theta_\lambda, \Phi_{n,\lambda}\rangle$. We recall that $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(A_1)$ admits similar coproduct structure (see [A]).

So our goal here is twofold. First, we would like to extend a coproduct structure for G_Γ when $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_m (m \geq 3)$. Second, we would like clarify the relationships between groups $G_\Gamma = \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(O_\tau)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_\Gamma(S_\tau)$.

Theorem 1.4. *We have*

1. *For* $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_m (m \geq 3)$,
$$G_\Gamma \cong A *_U B\,,$$
where $A := \langle \Theta_\lambda, \Psi_{n,\lambda} \rangle$, $B := \langle \Theta_\lambda, \Phi_{n,\lambda} \rangle$ *and* $U := A \cap B$.
2. *For* $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_m (m \geq 2)$, *the automorphisms* Θ_λ, $\Psi_{n,\lambda}$ *and* $\Phi_{n,\lambda}$ *of* O_τ *can be lifted to* Γ*-equivariant automorphisms of* S_τ *and*
$$\mathrm{Aut}_\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_\tau) \cong H \rtimes G_\Gamma\,,$$
where H *is an abelian subgroup defined in Lemma 2.1.*

We would like to finish the introduction by mentioning one interesting consequence of Theorem 1.1 in the theory of integrable systems. In the seminal paper [W], G. Wilson has shown that rational solutions of *KP hierarchy* can be parametrized by the Calogero-Moser spaces $\mathcal{C} = \sqcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{C}_n$. Thus we have an action of the group G on spaces of rational solutions, and the G-transitivity on each of $\mathcal{C}_n$ implies that choosing one solution from $\mathcal{C}_n$ one can obtain any other solution by applying an appropriate element of G. Recently, in [CS], a generalization of the KP hierarchy associated to the cyclic quiver has been introduced. By extending the result of [W], the authors have shown that rational solutions are parametrized by the corresponding quiver varieties. Hence Theorem 1.1 implies that any rational solution from $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_\Gamma(m, n; \epsilon)$ can be obtained from any given solution by applying an appropriate element of G_Γ for $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the notations, review some basic facts about algebras $\mathcal{S}_\tau$ and O_τ as well as their automorphism groups, and give a proof of Theorem 1.4. In Section 3 we explain the construction of the bijective map Ω. The main result is Theorem 3.1, which shows how to construct an ideal for a given point of the quiver variety. The theorem also provides an important invariant of the corresponding ideal, $\kappa \in Q(O_\tau)$, where $Q(O_\tau)$ is the field of fractions of O_τ. This invariant distinguishes ideals up to isomorphism. In Section 4, using κ, we provide a natural generating set for the coordinate ring of the quiver variety $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(m, n; \epsilon)$. In Section 5, we give a proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 6, we construct $\mathbb{C}^*$-fixed points of $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(m, n; \epsilon)$. In Sections 7-8, we compute κ's for $\mathbb{C}^*$-fixed points, their corresponding ideals and endomorphism rings. Finally, in Section 9, we give proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. It also discusses a counterexample to Stafford's conjecture.

2. Automorphism groups of $\mathcal{S}_\tau$ and O_τ

2.1. **Generalities.** In this section we collect various facts about algebras $\mathcal{S}_\tau(\Gamma)$ and $O_\tau(\Gamma)$ that we will need. Some of these facts will be used without comment in the body of the paper. All algebras will be considered over the field $\mathbb{C}$.

As mentioned above, we will be dealing with cyclic Γ's. Thus let $m \geq 1$ and assume $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_m$. Fix an embedding Γ into $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ so that a generator g of Γ acts on x and y by ϵ and ε^{-1} respectively, where ε is a primitive m-th root of unity. Then $\mathcal{S}_\tau$ is the quotient of $\mathbb{C}\langle x, y \rangle * \Gamma$ subject to the following relations

$$g^i \cdot x = \varepsilon^i\, x \cdot g^i\,, \quad g^i \cdot y = \varepsilon^{-i}\, y \cdot g^i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \cdots, m-1\,, \tag{2.1}$$

$$x \cdot y - y \cdot x = \tau\,. \tag{2.2}$$

One can replace (2.1) by another set of relations. Indeed, let $e_0, \cdots, e_{m-1}$ be the complete set of orthogonal primitive idempotents of $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ given by

$$e_i := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \varepsilon^{-ik}\, g^k\,, \tag{2.3}$$

where g is a generator of Γ. Then relation (2.1) is equivalent to

$$e_i \cdot x = x \cdot e_{i+1}\,, \quad e_i \cdot y = y \cdot e_{i-1} \text{ for } i\,(\mathtt{mod}\, m)\,. \tag{2.4}$$

The homological and ring-theoretical properties of O_τ depend on the values of the parameter τ. By the McKay correspondence we can associate to the group Γ an extended Dynkin diagram. The group algebra $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ is then identified with the dual of the space spanned by the simple roots of the corresponding root system. Following [CBH], we say that τ is *regular* if it does not belong to any root hyperplane in $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$. In the case when τ is regular, the algebras $\mathcal{S}_\tau$ and O_τ are Morita equivalent, the equivalence between the categories of right modules is given by (see [CBH, Theorem 0.4])

$$F : \mathtt{Mod}(\mathcal{S}_\tau) \to \mathtt{Mod}(O_\tau)\,,\ M \mapsto M \otimes_{\mathcal{S}_\tau} \mathcal{S}_\tau e\,. \tag{2.5}$$

Now let $v \in \mathbb{C}[h]$. Then $A(v)$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by a, b and h subject to the relations

$$a \cdot h = (h-1) \cdot a\,,\ b \cdot h = (h+1) \cdot b\,,\ b \cdot a = v(h)\,,\ a \cdot b = v(h-1)\,. \tag{2.6}$$

These algebras have been studied in [Ba], [H2] and [Sm2]. One can easily establish the following relation between algebras O_τ and $A(v)$:

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_m$ and let $\tau := \tau_0 e_0 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1} e_{m-1} \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$ be such that $\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1} \neq 0$. Then the map $\phi : O_\tau \to A(v)$ defined by*

$$ex^m \mapsto b\,,\ ey^m \mapsto a\,,\ eyx \mapsto (\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1})h$$

induces an algebra isomorphism, where

$$v(h) := (\tau_0 + \tau_2 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1})^m \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(h + \frac{\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_i}{\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1}} \right).$$

Corollary 2.1. *$A_1^{\mathbb{Z}_m} \cong A(v)$ for $v(x) = \prod_{i=1}^m (x + \frac{i}{m})$.*

Now, if $\deg(v) = 2$ then $A(v)$ is isomorphic to a primitive factor of the universal enveloping algebra of $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$. Indeed, let $U = U(sl_2)$ be the universal enveloping algebra of $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$, and let F, E, H be its standard generators. Let $\Omega = 4FE + H^2 + 2H$ be the Casimir element. Then primitive factors are of the form $U_\alpha = U/(\Omega - \alpha)$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$). If $v(x) = \alpha/4 - x - x^2$, then the map

$$\phi : U_\alpha \to A(v)\,, \quad E \mapsto a,\ F \mapsto b,\ H \mapsto 2h \tag{2.7}$$

defines an isomorphism of algebras (see e.g. [H2, Example 4.7]).

The following result shows when $A(v_1)$ and $A(v_2)$ are isomorphic:

Theorem 2.1. [BJ, Theorem 3.28.] *Let $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{C}[h]$. Then $A(v_1) \cong A(v_2)$ if and only if $v_2(h) = \eta v_1(h + \beta)$ for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^*$.*

Now we get

Corollary 2.2. *If $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\tau = \tau_0 e_0 + \tau_1 e_1$, then $O_\tau \cong U_\alpha$ for $\alpha = 1 - (\frac{\tau_1}{\tau_0 + \tau_1})^2$.*

Proof. By (2.7) $U_\alpha \cong A(v_1)$, where $v_1 = -(h + \frac{1+\sqrt{1-\alpha}}{2})(h + \frac{1-\sqrt{1-\alpha}}{2})$. By Proposition 2.1 $O_\tau \cong A(v_2)$, where $v_2 = (\tau_0 + \tau_1)^2 (h+1)(h + \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_0 + \tau_1})$. Now, we can use Theorem 2.1 with $\beta = \frac{1-\sqrt{1-\alpha}}{2}$ and $\eta = -(\tau_0 + \tau_1)^2$ to prove our statement. □

2.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.4.** Let $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{S}_\tau)$ be the group of $\mathbb{C}$-algebra automorphisms of $\mathcal{S}_\tau$ and let $\mathrm{Aut}_\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_\tau)$ be the subgroup of Γ-invariant automorphisms. Let $\mathrm{Aut}_{\Gamma,\omega}(R)$ be the group of Γ-invariant automorphisms of R preserving $\omega := xy - yx$. Then there is a natural group epimorphism

$$\varphi \,:\, \mathrm{Aut}_{\Gamma,\omega}(R) \,\to\, \mathrm{Aut}_\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_\tau). \tag{2.8}$$

We also have the following group homomorphism

$$\mu \,:\, \mathrm{Aut}_\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_\tau) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(O_\tau)\,, \quad \mu(\sigma)(ebe) \,=\, e\sigma(b)e\,. \tag{2.9}$$

Composing μ with the isomorphism in Proposition 2.1 we get a group homomorphism

$$\rho \,:\, \mathrm{Aut}_\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_\tau) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(A(v))\,. \tag{2.10}$$

We now present generators of the group $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(A(v))$ discovered in [BJ]. Let σ be a $\mathbb{C}$-linear automorphism of $\mathbb{C}[h]$ such that $\sigma(h) = h-1$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\triangle_k$ be the linear map $\sigma^k - 1 : \mathbb{C}[h] \to \mathbb{C}[h]$. It is easy to check that $\triangle_k$ defines a σ^n-derivation, i.e.,

$$\triangle_k(fg) \,=\, \triangle_k(f)\, g + \sigma^k(f)\, \triangle_k(g),$$

for all $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[h]$. Let $m := \deg(v)$, $n \geq 0$ be an integer and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the following families of automorphisms of $A(v)$:

$$\Theta_\lambda \,:\, a \mapsto \lambda^m\, a\,,\, h \mapsto h\,,\, b \mapsto \lambda^{-m}\, b\,, \tag{2.11}$$

$$\Psi_{k,\lambda} \,:\, a \mapsto a\,,\, h \mapsto h - k\,\lambda\, a^k\,,\, b \mapsto b + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!}\, \triangle_k^i(v)\, a^{ik-1}\,, \tag{2.12}$$

$$\Phi_{k,\lambda} \,:\, a \mapsto a + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{(-\lambda)^i}{i!}\, \triangle_k^i(v)\, b^{ik-1}\,,\, h \mapsto h + k\,\lambda\, b^k\,,\, b \mapsto b \tag{2.13}$$

were introduced in [BJ]. Moreover, they proved (see *loc. cit.* Theorem 3.29):

Theorem 2.2. $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(A(v))$ *is generated by* Θ_λ, $\Psi_{k,\lambda}$ *and* $\Phi_{k,\lambda}$.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*/\mathbb{Z}_m$. Then the following maps define automorphisms both in $\mathrm{Aut}_\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_\tau)$ and in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\Gamma,\omega}(R)$:

$$\theta_\lambda \,:\, x \mapsto \lambda^{-1}\, x\,,\, y \mapsto \lambda\, y\,, \quad g \mapsto g; \tag{2.14}$$

$$\psi_{k,\lambda} \,:\, x \mapsto x - k\,\lambda\,(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_m)\, y^{km-1}\,, \quad y \mapsto y\,, \quad g \mapsto g; \tag{2.15}$$

$$\phi_{k,\lambda} \,:\, x \mapsto x\,, \quad y \mapsto y + n\,\lambda\,(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_m)\, x^{km-1}\,, \quad g \mapsto g. \tag{2.16}$$

Therefore, from now on, we will use the same notations for these automorphisms whether we consider them as elements of $\mathrm{Aut}_\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_\tau)$ or $\mathrm{Aut}_{\Gamma,\omega}(R)$. We can show

Proposition 2.2. (i) $\rho(\theta_\lambda) = \Theta_\lambda\,,\, \rho(\psi_{k,\lambda}) = \Psi_{k,\lambda}\,,\, \rho(\phi_{k,\lambda}) = \Phi_{k,\lambda}$ *in* $\mathrm{Aut}_\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_\tau)$.
(ii) $\rho \circ \varphi(\theta_\lambda) = \Theta_\lambda\,,\, \rho \circ \varphi(\psi_{k,\lambda}) = \Psi_{k,\lambda}\,,\, \rho \circ \varphi(\phi_{k,\lambda}) = \Phi_{k,\lambda}\,.$

Proof. (i) It is straightforward to see that $\rho(\theta_\lambda) = \Theta_\lambda$. We will only prove $\rho(\psi_{k,\lambda}) = \Psi_{k,\lambda}$ since the proof for $\rho(\phi_{k,\lambda}) = \Phi_{k,\lambda}$ is completely analogous.

By identification $\phi : O_\tau \to A(v)$, we obtain that $\psi_{k,\lambda}$ induces the automorphism

$$(a,\, h,\, b) \,\mapsto\, (a,\, h - k\,\lambda\, a^k,\, e(x + \lambda(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1})\, y^{mk-1})^m).$$

To prove $\rho(\psi_{k,\lambda}) = \Psi_{k,\lambda}$ it suffices to show

$$e(x + \lambda(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1})\, y^{mk-1})^m = b + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!}\, \triangle_k^i(v)\, a^{ik-1}\,. \tag{2.17}$$

Set

$$w_i := ey^i(x + \lambda(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1})\, y^{mk-1})^i\,.$$

Note that w_m is equal to the LHS of (2.17) multiplied by $ey^m = a$ from the left. Then using relation $xy - yx = \tau$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
w_i &= ey^{i-1}(yx + \lambda(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1})\, y^{mk})(x + \lambda\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1})\, y^{mk-1})^{i-1} \\
&= ey^{i-1}(xy - \tau + \lambda(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1})\, y^{nm})(x + \lambda(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1})\, y^{mk-1})^{i-1} \\
&= ey^{i-1}(x + \lambda(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1})\, y^{mk-1})\, y\, (x + \lambda(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1})\, y^{mk-1})^{i-1} \\
&\quad -ey^{i-1}\tau(x + \lambda(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1})\, y^{mk-1})^{i-1} \\
&= ey^{i-1}(x + \lambda(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1})\, y^{mk-1})\, y\, (x + \lambda(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1})\, y^{mk-1})^{i-1} \\
&\quad -\tau_{m-i+1}ey^{i-1}(x + \lambda(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1})\, y^{mk-1})^{i-1} \\
&= ey^{i-1}(x + \lambda(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1})\, y^{mk-1})y(x + \lambda(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1})\, y^{mk-1})^{i-1} \\
&\quad -\tau_{m-i+1}w_{i-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Repeating this process by moving further to the left y term, we get

$$w_i = w_{i-1}(w_1 - \tau_{m-i+1} - \cdots - \tau_{m-1})\,.$$

Hence

$$w_m = w_1(w_1 - \tau_{m-1})(w_1 - \tau_{m-2} - \tau_{m-1})\cdots(w_1 - (\tau_1 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1}))$$

or equivalently

$$w_m = (\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1})^m \prod_{i=0}^{m-1}\left(h + \lambda a^k - \frac{\tau_1 + \cdots + \tau_i}{\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1}}\right).$$

Now if applying to $\Psi_{k,\lambda}^{-1}$, we have

$$\Psi_{k,\lambda}^{-1}(w_m) = (\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1})^m \prod_{i=0}^{m-1}\left(h - \frac{\tau_1 + \cdots + \tau_i}{\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1}}\right),$$

which is equal to $v(h-1) = ab$, and therefore

$$w_m = \Psi_{k,\lambda}(ab) = a\Big(b + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\lambda^i}{i!}\,\triangle_m^i(v)\,a^{im-1}\Big)\,.$$

Since O_τ is a domain, dividing by a the last expression, we get (2.17).

(ii) The proof of this part is similar to part (i). □

Now, let G be the group generated by $\theta_\lambda, \psi_{m,\lambda}$ and $\phi_{m,\lambda}$. Again G can be viewed both as a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}_\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_\tau)$ and of $\mathrm{Aut}_{\Gamma,\omega}(R)$. Then:

Corollary 2.3. *The homomorphisms ρ and $\rho\circ\varphi$ map G surjectively.*

Proposition 2.3. *Let $c_0, \cdots, c_{m-1} \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the assignment*

$$x \mapsto x_1 := (c_0\, e_0 + \cdots + c_{m-1}\, e_{m-1})\cdot x\,,\ y \mapsto y_1 := (d_0\, e_0 + \cdots + d_{m-1}\, e_{m-1})\cdot y\,,\ e_i \mapsto e_i$$

defines an element in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\Gamma,\omega}(R)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_\tau)$ if and only if $c_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $d_i = 1/c_{i+1}$ for $i\,(\mathtt{mod}\,m)$.

Proof. We will only prove that the above map defines an automorphism in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\Gamma,\omega}(R)$, since for $\mathcal{S}_\tau$ the arguments are similar. First, the above map is bijective if and only if $c_i \neq 0$, since the inverse is given by

$$x \mapsto x_1 := (d_{m-1}\, e_0 + \cdots + d_0\, e_{m-1})\cdot x\,,\ y \mapsto y_1 := (c_1\, e_0 + \cdots + c_0\, e_{m-1})\cdot y\,,\ e_i \mapsto e_i\,.$$

We need to show that $e_i \cdot x_1 = x_1 e_{i+1}$, $e_i \cdot y_1 = y_1 e_{i-1}$ and $x_1 \cdot y_1 - y_1 \cdot x_1 = x \cdot y - y \cdot x$. The first two relations are straightforward. The left hand side of the third relation is

$$\begin{aligned} & (c_0\, e_0 \,+ \cdots + \, c_{m-1}\; e_{m-1})(d_0\, e_1 \,+ \cdots + d_{m-2}\; e_{m-1} + \, d_{m-1}\; e_0) \cdot x \cdot y \\ & -(d_0\, e_0 \,+ \cdots + \, d_{m-1}\; e_{m-1})(c_0\, e_{m-1} \,+ \, c_1\, e_0 + \cdots + \, c_{m-1}\; e_{m-2}) \cdot y \cdot x \\ = \;& (c_0\, d_{m-1}\, e_0 + \, c_1\, d_0\, e_1 + \cdots + \, c_{m-1}\, d_{m-2}\, e_{m-1}) \cdot x \cdot y \\ & -(c_1\, d_0\, e_0 + \, c_2\, d_1\, e_1 + \cdots + \, c_{m-1}\, d_{m-2}\, e_{m-2} \,+ \, c_0\, d_{m-1}\, e_{m-1}) \cdot y \cdot x \\ = \;& xy - yx, \end{aligned}$$

which proves our claim. □

The group of automorphisms defined in Proposition 2.3 is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m$. Let H be the subgroup of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m$ consisting of m-tuples $(c_0, c_1, \cdots, c_{m-1})$ such that $c_0 c_1 \cdots c_{m-1} = 1$.

Lemma 2.1. *H is the kernel of ρ and $\rho \circ \varphi$. In particular, H is a normal subgroup of* $\mathrm{Aut}_{\Gamma,\omega}(R)$ *and* $\mathrm{Aut}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{S}_\tau)$.

Proof. Let $\eta \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{S}_\tau)$ and let $x_1 := \eta(x)$, $y_1 := \eta(y)$. If $\eta \in \mathrm{Ker}(\rho)$ then

$$e x_1^m \,=\, e x^m\,, \quad e y_1^m \,=\, e y^m\,, \quad e y_1 x_1 \,=\, e y x\,.$$

Let $x_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} e_i f_i(x,y)$. Then since $e_i x_1 = x_1 e_{i+1}$, we have $e_i f_i(x,y) e_{i+1} = e_i f_i(x,y)$ and hence $e x_1^m = e\, f_0\, f_2 \cdots f_{m-1} = e x^m$. The later implies $e_i\, f_i = c_i e_i x$ for some $c_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Thus $x_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i\, e_i x$ and $e x_1^m = c_0 c_1 \cdots c_{m-1} e x^m$. Similarly, we can show that $y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i\, e_i y$ and $d_0 \cdots d_{m-1} = 1$. By Proposition (2.3), we can conclude that $\eta \in H$. □

Thus, combining Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.1 we have proved:

Theorem 2.3. *Let $G := \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(O_\tau(\mathbb{Z}_m))$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{S}_\tau(\mathbb{Z}_m)) \;\cong\; H \rtimes G \;\cong\; \mathrm{Aut}_{\Gamma,\omega}(R).$$

Let $G_1 := \langle \Theta_\lambda, \Psi_{k,\mu} \rangle$, $G_2 := \langle \Theta_\lambda, \Phi_{k,\mu} \rangle$ and $G_3 := \langle \Theta_\lambda \rangle$. Then:

Proposition 2.4. *We have $G \cong G_1 *_{G_3} G_2$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.3, the group G can be embedded in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\Gamma,\omega}(R)$ such that image of G_1 and G_2 are generated by $\langle \theta_\lambda, \psi_{k,\mu} \rangle_{k \geq 1}$ and $\langle \theta_\lambda, \phi_{k,\mu} \rangle_{k \geq 1}$ respectively. Recall $\mathrm{Aut}_{\Gamma,\omega}(R)$ can be presented as amalgamated product

$$A *_U B,$$

where A is the group of symplectic affine transformations, B is the group of triangular transformations and $U = A \cap B$. Any element in $g \in G$ can be written

$$g = g_1 \cdots g_k,$$

where g_i is either in G_1 or G_2 but not in G_3. Using the amalgamated product structure of $\mathrm{Aut}_{\Gamma,\omega}(R)$ we can rewrite it as

$$\theta_\lambda h_1 \cdots h_k,$$

where h_i is alternating in $\psi_{q(y)}$ and $\phi_{p(x)}$. This is a reduced word in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\Gamma,\omega}(R)$ hence g cannot be equal 1 unless $\lambda = 1$ and $h_i = 1$ for all i. □

3. Ideal classes of O_τ and quiver varieties

In this section we recall some results proved by one of the authors [E] and by [BGK], related to a description of ideal classes of the algebra O_τ. More explicitly, we will show that there is a G-equivariant bijection between O_τ-ideals and the disjoint union of certain quiver varieties $\mathfrak{M}_\tau$.

3.1. **Nakajima's quiver varieties.** Let $Q = (I, H)$ be a finite quiver (without loops) with the vertex set I and the arrow set H, and let $\bar{Q} = (I, \bar{H})$ be its *double* quiver, obtained by adjoining a reverse arrow a^* for each arrow $a \in H$. Let $\mathbb{V} = (V_i)_{i\in I}$ and $\mathbb{W} = (W_i)_{i\in I}$ be a pair of collections of vector spaces. We consider the vector space of linear maps

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{W}) = \mathbf{E}(\mathbb{V}) \oplus \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{W}, \mathbb{V}) \oplus \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{W}), \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{V}) := \bigoplus_{a\in\bar{H}} \mathrm{Hom}(V_{t(a)}, V_{h(a)}), \quad \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{W}, \mathbb{V}) := \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathrm{Hom}(W_i, V_i).$$

Note that the space $\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{V})$ can be identified with $\mathtt{Rep}(\bar{Q}, \mathbf{v})$, the space of $\bar{Q}$-representations of dimension vector $\mathbf{v} = (\dim V_0, \cdots, \dim V_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^I$. There is a natural action of the group $G(\mathbb{V}) := \prod \mathtt{GL}(V_i)$ on $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{W})$ given by

$$(B_a, v_i, w_i) \mapsto (g_{h(a)}\, B_a\, g_{t(a)}^{-1},\, g_i\, v_i,\, w_i\, g_i^{-1}).$$

For each $\tau = (\tau_i)_{i\in I} \in \mathbb{C}^I$, we define a subvariety $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{W}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{W})$ satisfying the following

- *Moment map equation:*

$$\sum_{\substack{a\in Q\\ h(a)=i}} B_a B_{a^*} - \sum_{\substack{a\in Q\\ t(a)=i}} B_{a^*} B_a + v_i w_i = \tau_i \,\mathrm{Id}_{V_i}, \quad i \in I \tag{3.2}$$

- *Stability condition :*

$$\text{If } \mathbb{V}' \subset \mathbb{V} \text{ is a } \bar{Q} - \text{submodule such that } B_a(V'_{t(a)}) \subset V'_{h(a)},$$
$$B_{a^*}(V'_{h(a)}) \subset V'_{t(a)} \text{ and } v_i(W_i) \subset V'_i, \text{ then } \mathbb{V}' = \mathbb{V}. \tag{3.3}$$

The action of $G(\mathbb{V})$ on $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}^\tau(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{W})$ is free, due to the stability condition. The *Nakajima variety* associated to the triple $(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{W}, \tau)$ is defined as follows

$$\mathfrak{M}_Q^\tau(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{W}) := \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}^\tau(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{W}) /\!\!/ G(\mathbb{V}), \tag{3.4}$$

where $/\!\!/$ is the GIT quotient.

Remark. The quiver variety $\mathfrak{M}_Q^\tau(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{W})$, associated to a quiver Q, can be identified with a representation variety of *the deformed preprojective algebra* $\Pi^\tau(Q)$ (see [CBH]).

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be a finite subgroup. Then recall that one can associate to Γ a quiver $Q_\Gamma = (I_\Gamma, H_\Gamma)$, whose underlying graph is an extended Dynkin diagram (see [McK]). Now let $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}_{i\in I_\Gamma}$ be a complete set of irreducible representations of Γ. Then for a pair of Γ-modules (V, W), we define collections of vector spaces $\mathbb{V} := (V_i)$ and $\mathbb{W} := (W_i)$, where $V \cong \oplus_i V_i \otimes \mathcal{U}_i$ and $W \cong \oplus_i W_i \otimes \mathcal{U}_i$ are decompositions into irreducible modules. Finally, let $\tau \in Z(\mathbb{C}\Gamma)$. Then $\tau = (\tau_i)_{i\in I_\Gamma}$ via the identification $Z(\mathbb{C}\Gamma) \cong \mathbb{C}^{I_\Gamma}$. Thus, given such a triple (V, W, τ), we can associate to it the quiver variety

$$\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma^\tau(V, W) := \mathfrak{M}_{Q_\Gamma}^\tau(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{W}).$$

Since we will be concerned with the case when Γ is cyclic and W is one-dimensional Γ-module, we can write quiver varieties for this case more explicitly. Indeed, let $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_m$ and $W \cong \mathcal{U}_k$ for some $0 \le k \le m-1$. Then Q_Γ has type $\tilde{A}_{m-1}$: it consists of m vertices $\{0, 1, \cdots, m-1\}$ and m arrows

$a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_{m-1}$, forming a cycle. Denoting B_{a_i} and $B_{a_i^*}$ by X_i and Y_i respectively, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_m}(V,\mathcal{U}_k) = \Big\{ \quad & \Big(X_0, X_1, \cdots, X_{m-1};\, Y_0, Y_1, \cdots, Y_{m-1};\, v_k, w_k\Big) \,\Big|\, X_i \in \mathrm{Hom}(V_{i+1}, V_i)\ , \\ & Y_i \in \mathrm{Hom}(V_i, V_{i+1})\,,\ v_k \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}, V_k)\,,\ w_k \in \mathrm{Hom}(V_k, \mathbb{C}), \\ & X_iY_i - Y_{i-1}X_{i-1} + \tau_i \mathrm{Id}_{n_i} = 0\ ,\ i \neq k, \\ & X_kY_k - Y_{k-1}X_{k-1} + \tau_{n_k}\mathrm{Id}_{n_k} = v_kw_k\Big\} /\!\!/ \prod_i \mathtt{GL}(V_i)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where $n_i := \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V_i)$ and the action of $\prod_i \mathtt{GL}(V_i)$ is given as follows. For $g = (g_0, \cdots, g_{m-1}) \in \prod_i \mathtt{GL}(V_i)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & g.(X_0, X_1, \cdots, X_{m-1};\, Y_0, Y_1, \cdots, Y_{m-1};\, v_k, w_k) \\ = \quad & (g_0X_0g_1^{-1}, \cdots, g_{m-1}X_{m-1}g_0^{-1}; g_1Y_0g_0^{-1}, \cdots, g_0Y_{m-1}g_{m-1}^{-1};\, g_kv_k, w_kg_k^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

It is a well-known fact (see e.g. [CB]) that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_m}(V,\mathcal{U}_k) = \begin{cases} 2(n_k - (n_0 - n_1)^2), & \text{for } m = 2, \\ 2\Big(n_k - \Big(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} n_i^2 - \sum_{i<j} n_in_j\Big)\Big), & \text{for } m > 2. \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

Notation. The following notation for quiver varieties will also be used later in the paper. If $V \cong \oplus_{i=0}^{m-1} V_i \otimes \mathcal{U}_i$ and $n_i = \dim(V_i)$, then we set

$$\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_m}(n_0, \cdots, n_{m-1};\, k) := \mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_m}(V,\mathcal{U}_k)\,.$$

Now we state a simple but important observation for cyclic quiver varieties:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\tau = (\tau_0, \cdots, \tau_{m-1})$ and $\tau' = (\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_{m-1}, \tau_0) \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}_m \cong \mathbb{C}^m$. Then the map $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_m}(n_0, \cdots, n_{m-1};\, k) \to \mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_m}(n_1, \cdots, n_{m-1}, n_0;\, k-1)$ defined by*

$$X_i \mapsto X_{i+1}, Y_i \mapsto Y_{i+1} \text{ for } i + 1\,(\mathtt{mod}\, m)$$

is a canonical isomorphism of algebraic varieties.

Proof. It follows immediately from (3.5). □

We finish this subsection by considering a special case of cyclic quiver varieties, $\Gamma = \{1\}$. In this case Q_Γ is just a quiver with one vertex and one loop. We denote $\mathcal{C}_n := \mathfrak{M}^{\tau=1}_{\Gamma=\{1\}}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C})$, the n-th *Calogero-Moser* variety. More explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_n \quad = \quad & \{(X, Y, v, w) \,|\, X, Y \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^n),\, v \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}), \\ & w \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^n),\, XY - YX + \mathrm{Id}_n \,=\, vw\} \,//\mathtt{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

The space $\mathcal{C}_n$ is an irreducible, smooth, affine algebraic variety of dimension $2n$. It is known to be diffeomorphic to $\mathrm{Hilb}_n(\mathbb{C}^2)$, the Hilbert scheme of n points on $\mathbb{C}^2$ (see [N] and [W]).

3.2. G_Γ **action on quiver varieties.** First, let us recall the action of $G := \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(A_1)$ on $\mathcal{C}_n, (n \geq 0)$ as has been defined in [BW1, BW2]. A classical result by Dixmier [D] states that G is generated by two families of A_1-automorphisms

$$\Phi_{a,n}(x, y) := (x + a\, y^n, y) \ \text{ and } \ \Psi_{b,m}(x, y) := (x, y + b\, x^m)\,, \tag{3.8}$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then for $\sigma \in G$ and $(X, Y, v, w) \in \mathcal{C}_n$, let

$$\sigma.(X, Y, v, w) \ := \ (\sigma^{-1}(X), \sigma^{-1}(Y), v, w)\,, \tag{3.9}$$

where σ^{-1} is the inverse of σ in G. For example, if $\sigma = \Phi_{a,n}$ then

$$\Phi_{a,n}.(X, Y, v, w) \;=\; (X - a\,Y^n, Y, v, w)\,.$$

To define the action of G_Γ on $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_\Gamma$ for $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_m$, we give a presentation of this quiver variety similar to that of $\mathcal{C}_n$ as in (3.7). For a point

$$(X_0, X_1, \cdots, X_{m-1};\, Y_0, Y_1, \cdots, Y_{m-1}, v_k, w_k) \in \mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_m}(n_0, \cdots, n_{m-1};\, k)\,,$$

set

$$X := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X_0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & X_1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & X_{m-2} \\ X_{m-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Y := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & Y_{m-1} \\ Y_0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & Y_1 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & Y_{m-2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.10}$$

These are $m \times m$ block matrices of size $n \times n$, where $n := n_0 + \cdots + n_{m-1}$, such that X (resp. Y) is a block matrix whose only nonzero entries on the 1st and $(-m+1)$-st (resp. (-1)-st and $(m-1)$-st) diagonals. Next, let

$$w := (0, \cdots, 0, w_k, 0, \cdots, 0)\,, \quad v := (0, \cdots, 0, v_k, 0, \cdots, 0)^{\mathrm{t}}\,, \tag{3.11}$$

where t is taking the transpose of the matrix. So w is an n-dimensional row vector and v is an n-dimensional column vector. Finally, let

$$\mathcal{T} := \mathrm{Diag}\,[\,\tau_0 \mathrm{Id}_{n_0}, \cdots, \tau_{m-1}\mathrm{Id}_{n_{m-1}}\,] \tag{3.12}$$

be an $n \times n$ block diagonal matrix. Then it is easy to see that relations in (3.5) are equivalent to

$$X\,Y - Y\,X + \mathcal{T} \;=\; vw\,. \tag{3.13}$$

Thus we can refer to points of $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_m}(n_0, \cdots, n_{m-1};\, k)$ as quadruples (X, Y, v, w) satisfying condition (3.13). This identification allows us to define the action of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_m} := \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(O_\tau(\mathbb{Z}_m))$ on each $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_m}$ as follows. By Theorem 2.3, the group $G_{\mathbb{Z}_m}$ is generated by automorphisms defined by (2.14)-(2.16). So it suffices to define an action on these generators. Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}_\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_\tau)$ be as one of (2.14)-(2.16). Then for a $(X, Y, v, w) \in \mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_m}(n_0, \cdots, n_{m-1};\, k)$, define exactly the same action as for $\mathcal{C}_n$:

$$\sigma.(X, Y, v, w) \;:=\; (\sigma^{-1}(X), \sigma^{-1}(Y), v, w). \tag{3.14}$$

This is a well-defined action, since the RHS of (3.14) satisfies (3.13) and $\sigma^{-1}(X)$ (resp. $\sigma^{-1}(Y)$) has the same non-zero diagonals as X (resp. Y). For instance, if $\sigma = \psi_{k,\lambda}$ then

$$\psi_{k,\lambda}.(X, Y, v, w) \;=\; (X + k\lambda(\tau_0 + \tau_1 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1})Y^{km-1}, Y, v, w)\,.$$

One can easily see that the action $\psi_{k,\lambda}$ on $(X_0, \cdots, X_{m-1}; Y_0, \cdots, Y_{m-1}; v_k, w_k)$ corresponding via identification (3.10) to (X, Y, v, w) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &X_0 \;\mapsto\; X_0 + k\lambda(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1})\, Y_{m-1}\, Y_{m-2} \cdots Y_1, \\ &X_i \;\mapsto\; X_i + k\lambda(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{m-1})\, Y_{i-1}\, Y_{i-2} \cdots Y_0\, Y_{m-1}\, Y_{m-2} \cdots Y_{i+1}\,,\ i = 1, \cdots, m-1, \\ &Y_i \;\mapsto\; Y_i \quad i = 0, 1, \cdots, m-1\,,\ v_k \;\mapsto v_k\,,\ w_k \mapsto w_k. \end{aligned}$$

The next statement follows directly from the definition of quiver varieties:

Corollary 3.1. *The map in Lemma 3.1 is $G_{\mathbb{Z}_m}$-equivariant.*

3.3. **G_Γ-equivariant bijective correspondence.** Let $\mathcal{R}_\tau$ be the set of isomorphism classes $\mathcal{S}_\tau$-submodules of $e\,\mathcal{S}_\tau$ and let $\mathcal{R}'_\tau$ be the set of isomorphism classes of O_τ-ideals. Then the functor F (see (2.5)) gives a natural bijection between $\mathcal{R}'_\tau$ and $\mathcal{R}_\tau$. Let $K_0(\Gamma)$, $K_0(\mathcal{S}_\tau)$ and $K_0(O_\tau)$ be the Grothendieck groups of the algebras $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$, $\mathcal{S}_\tau$ and O_τ respectively. Using Quillen's theorem and Morita equivalence between $\mathcal{S}_\tau$ and O_τ, we can identify all three groups. Next, we recall that $\hat{\Gamma}$ is a set of irreducible Γ-modules. Then there is a map $\gamma : \mathcal{R}_\tau \to K_0(\Gamma) \times \hat{\Gamma}$ which sends a submodule M to a pair (V, W) so that V does not contain $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$. One can show (see [E, Theorem 7]) that $\gamma(M_1) = \gamma(M_2)$ if and only if $[M_1] = [M_2]$ in $K_0(\mathcal{S}_\tau)$. Hence, the K-theoretic description produces a decomposition of $\mathcal{R}_\tau$ (and of $\mathcal{R}'_\tau$):

$$\mathcal{R}_\tau = \bigsqcup_{V,W} \mathcal{R}_\tau(V, W)\,, \tag{3.15}$$

where V runs over all finite-dimensional Γ-modules and $W \cong \mathcal{U}_k$ for some $k = 0, \cdots, m-1$.

The action of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_m}$ on $\mathcal{R}_\tau$ is pointwise, i.e., if $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Z}_m}$ and $M \subset O_\tau$, then $\sigma.M := \{\sigma(m) \,|\, m \in M\}$. Moreover, this action respects the decomposition (3.15). The following result is proved in [E]:

Theorem 3.1. *Let V be a finite-dimensional Γ-module. Then for any $0 \le k \le m-1$, there is a natural $G_{\mathbb{Z}_m}$-equivariant bijection $\Omega : \mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_m}(V, \mathcal{U}_k) \to \mathcal{R}_\tau(V, \mathcal{U}_k)$ sending a point (X, Y, v, w) in $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_m}(V, \mathcal{U}_k)$ to the class of the fractional ideal of $\mathcal{S}_\tau$:*

$$M = e_k \det(Y - y\,\mathrm{Id})\,\mathcal{S}_\tau + e_k\,\kappa \det(X - x\,\mathrm{Id})\,\mathcal{S}_\tau, \tag{3.16}$$

where Id *is the idenity matrix on V and κ is the following element*

$$\kappa \,=\, 1 - w(Y - y\,\mathrm{Id})^{-1}(X - x\,\mathrm{Id})^{-1}v \tag{3.17}$$

in $Q(\mathcal{S}_\tau)$, the classical ring of quotients of $\mathcal{S}_\tau$.

Remark. 1. κ is, indeed, an element of $Q(\mathcal{S}_\tau)$, since $(Y - y\,\mathrm{Id})^{-1}(X - x\,\mathrm{Id})^{-1}$ is an $n \times n$ matrix with entries from $Q(\mathcal{S}_\tau)$ and multiplying from the left by w ($1 \times n$ matrix) and from the right by v($n \times 1$ matrix) produces an element in $Q(\mathcal{S}_\tau)$.

2. The bijective correspondence part (without G-equivariance and a presentation for an ideal) was proved earlier by Baranovsky, Ginzburg and Kuznetsov [BGK].

3. The case when $\Gamma = \{1\}$, that is, when $O_\tau \cong A_1$ and the corresponding quiver variety is $\mathcal{C}_n$ was proved by Berest and Wilson [BW1, BW2], and they also showed the transitivity of the G-action.

Since our main results are concerning quiver varieties for $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, we will restate the above theorem more explicitly in this case. First, let us identify all triples $(n_0, n_1; \epsilon)$ for which the corresponding quiver variety $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n_0, n_1; \epsilon)$ is non-empty. If we assume that $n_0 \le n_1$ and take $n_0 = n - k$ and $n_1 = n$, then by (3.6), we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n-k, n; \epsilon) = \begin{cases} 2\,(n - k - k^2), & \text{for } \epsilon = 0, \\ 2\,(n - k^2), & \text{for } \epsilon = 1. \end{cases}$$

So we need to assume $n \ge k^2 + k$ for $\epsilon = 0$ and $n \ge k^2$ for $\epsilon = 1$. Now assuming $n_1 \ge n_0$, we obtain similar constraints on n and k. Thus $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n_0, n_1; \epsilon) \ne \emptyset$ if and only if $(n_0, n_1) \in L_\epsilon \subset (\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0})^2$, where

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 &:= \{(n-k, n) \,|\, k \ge 0, n \ge k^2 + k\} \cup \{(n, n-k) \,|\, k \ge 0, n \ge k^2\}, \\ L_1 &:= \{(n-k, n) \,|\, k \ge 0, n \ge k^2\} \cup \{(n, n-k) \,|\, k \ge 0, n \ge k^2 + k\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Thus, by Theorem 3.1, for $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ there is a decomposition

$$\mathcal{R}_\tau = \bigsqcup_{\epsilon=0,1} \bigsqcup_{(n_0,n_1)\in L_\epsilon} \mathcal{R}_\tau(n_0, n_1; \epsilon), \tag{3.19}$$

and a $G_{\mathbb{Z}_m}$-equivariant bijection defined for any $\epsilon = 0, 1$ and $(n_0, n_1) \in L_\epsilon$:

$$\Omega \,:\, \mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n_0, n_1; \epsilon) \simeq \mathcal{R}_\tau(n_0, n_1; \epsilon)\,, \quad (X, Y, v, w) \mapsto M, \tag{3.20}$$

where M is defined in (3.16)-(3.17).

4. Generating set for $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2})$

Our goal for this section is to produce a set of generators for $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(V,\mathcal{U}_k))$, which will be used to show the transitivity of the G-action.

Let (X, Y, v, w) be a point in $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_m}(V,\mathcal{U}_k)$. Then by Theorem 3.1, the ideal corresponding to this point is uniquely determined by κ. Expand κ into the formal power series:

$$\kappa \;=\; 1 + \sum_{l,q\geq 0} (w\, Y^l X^q\, v)\, y^{-l-1} x^{-q-1}\,, \tag{4.1}$$

where $w\, Y^l X^q\, v \in \mathbb{C}$. This defines an embedding

$$\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_m}(V,\mathcal{U}_k) \;\to\; \mathbb{C}^\infty\,,\; (X, Y, v, w) \;\mapsto\; (wY^l X^q v)_{l,q\geq 0}\,.$$

Let $p := \dim(V)$. Then by the Cayley-Hamilton identity any power of X or Y can be expressed in terms of powers of X or Y less than or equal to p. So we have an embedding

$$\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_m}(V,\mathcal{U}_k) \;\to\; \mathbb{C}^N\,,$$

where $N = p^2$. Dually, we have $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \cdots, x_N] \;\twoheadrightarrow\; \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{M}_\tau(V,\mathcal{U}_k))$.

Lemma 4.1. (a) *$\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_m}(V,\mathcal{U}_k))$ is generated by $(wY^q X^r v)_{q,r\leq p}$.*
(b) *$wY^q X^r v = 0$ unless $q = r (\mathtt{mod}\, m)$.*

Proof. (a) It follows from the above arguments.
(b) This is a special case of the next Proposition. □

Proposition 4.1. *If $k_1, \cdots, k_t, l_1, \cdots, l_t$ are non-negative integers and $\epsilon = 0, 1$, then*

$$w\, Y^{k_1} X^{l_1} \,\cdots\, Y^{k_j} \mathcal{T}^\epsilon X^{l_j} \,\cdots\, Y^{k_t} X^{l_t}\, v \;=\; c w\, Y^{k_1} X^{l_1} \,\cdots\, Y^{k_t} X^{l_t}\, v\,, \tag{4.2}$$

for some constant c. Moreover, both sides of (4.2) are zero unless $K = L(\mathtt{mod}\, m)$, where $K = k_1 + \cdots + k_t$ and $L = l_1 + \cdots + l_t$.

Proof. The first part of the statement for $\epsilon = 0$ is trivial. Proof of the second part for $\epsilon = 0$ and $\epsilon = 1$ are the same, so we may assume $\epsilon = 1$. Recall (see (3.10)) that X (resp. Y) is an $m \times m$ block matrix with only non-zero entries on the $(m-1)$-st and on the (-1)-st (resp. 1-st and $-(m-1)$-st) diagonals. Those non-zero entries are the matrices $X_0, \cdots, X_{m-1}$ and $Y_0, \cdots, Y_{m-1}$ respectively. Set for $0 \leq i, j \leq m-1$,

$$D_{[i,j]} := \begin{cases} Y_i\, Y_{i-1} \,\cdots\, Y_j, & \text{if } i \geq j, \\ Y_i\, Y_{i-1} \cdots Y_0\, Y_{m-1} \cdots Y_j, & \text{if } i < j, \end{cases} \qquad C_{[i,j]} := \begin{cases} X_i\, X_{i+1} \cdots X_m\, X_0 \cdots X_j, & \text{if } i > j, \\ X_i\, X_{i+1} \,\cdots\, X_j, & \text{if } i \leq j. \end{cases}$$

Let $k_i = k_i' m + q_i$ and $l_i = l_i' m + r_i$ for $i = 1, \cdots, t$, where $k_i', l_i' \geq 0$ and $0 \leq r, q_i \leq m-1$. Then Y^{k_i} is an $m \times m$ block matrix whose only nonzero entries are on the $(m-q_i)$-th and the $(-q_i)$-th diagonals. The entries on the $(m-q_i)$-th diagonal are

$$(D_{[j-1,j]})^{k_i'}\, D_{[j-1,m-q_i+j]}\,,\ \text{for}\ 0 \leq j \leq q_i - 1\,,$$

where $D_{[-1,i]} := D_{[m-1,i]}$, while the entries on the $(-q_i)$-th diagonal are

$$(D_{[j-1,j]})^{k_i'}\, D_{[j-1,j-q_i]}\,,\ \text{for}\ q_i \leq j \leq m-1\,.$$

Similarly, X^{l_i} is an $m\times m$ block matrix whose two nonzero diagonals are the (r_i)-th and (r_i-m)-th ones. The entries on the (r_i)-th one are

$$C_{[j,j+r_i-1]}\,(C_{[j+r_i,j+r_i-1]})^{l'_i}\ \text{ for }\ 0\le j\le m-r_i-1\,,$$

where $C_{[j,-1]}:=C_{[j,m-1]}$ and the entries on the (r_i-m)-th diagonal are

$$C_{[j,j-m+r_i-1]}(C_{[j-m+r_i,j-m+r_i-1]})^{l'_i}\ \text{ for }\ m-r_i\le j\le m-1\,.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume $r_i\le q_i$. Then non-zero diagonals of $Y^{k_i}X^{l_i}$ are the $(m-q_i+r_i)$-th and the (r_i-q_i)-th ones and their entries are

$$(D_{[j-1,j]})^{k'_i}\,D_{[j-1,m-q_i+j]}\,C_{[m-q_i+j,m-q_i+j+r_i-1]}\,(C_{[m-q_i+j,m-q_i+j-1]})^{l'_i},$$

for $0\le j\le q_i-r_i-1$ and

$$(D_{[j-1,j]})^{k'_i}\,D_{[j-1,j-q_i]}\,C_{[j-q_i,j-q_i-r_i-1]}\,(C_{[j-q_i-r_i,j-q_i-r_i-1]})^{l'_i},$$

for $q_i-r_i\le j\le m-1$. Thus both matrices

$$Y^{k_1}X^{l_1}\cdots Y^{k_j}\mathcal{T}^{\epsilon}X^{l_j}\cdots Y^{k_t}X^{l_t}\ \text{ and }\ Y^{k_1}X^{l_1}\cdots Y^{k_t}X^{l_t}\tag{4.3}$$

are $m\times m$ block matrices whose only non-zero entries are on the $m-\sum_i(q_i-r_i)$-th and on the $-\sum_i(q_i-r_i)$-th diagonals. Hence these matrices are block diagonal matrices if and only if $\sum_i q_i=\sum_i r_i$. The later is equivalent to $K=L(\mathtt{mod}\,m)$. Now if $A=(A_{ij})$ is an $m\times m$ block matrix of size $n\times n$ then $wAv=w_k(A_{kk})v_k$ (see (3.11)). This proves the second part of the statement, i.e., both sides of (4.2) are zero unless $K=L(\mathtt{mod}\,m)$.

We now can assume the later condition and hence matrices in (4.3) are block diagonal. Moreover, the diagonal entries of the first matrix are multiples of the diagonal entries of the second one, where the multiples $c_1,\cdots,c_m$ are certain permutations of $\tau_0,\tau_1,\cdots,\tau_{m-1}$. Once again using $wAv=w_k(A_{kk})v_k$, we get that the LHS of (4.2) is a multiple of the RHS. □

Lemma 4.2. *For $(X,Y,v,w)\in\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_m}(V,\mathcal{U}_k)$ we have*

$$(YX)Y^l=Y^l(YX)-\sum_{i=1}^{l-1}Y^i\mathcal{T}Y^{l-i-1}+\sum_{i=1}^{l-1}Y^ivwY^{l-i-1}\,.$$

Proof. Since $XY=YX-\mathcal{T}+vw$, we have

$$(YX)Y\ =\ Y(YX-\mathcal{T}+vw)\ =\ Y(YX)-Y\mathcal{T}+Yvw\,.$$

Now the statement can be easily proved by induction. □

Proposition 4.2. *Let $(X,Y,v,w)\in\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_m}(V,\mathcal{U}_k)$. Then*

$$w\,Y^{k_1}X^{l_1}\cdots Y^{k_t}X^{l_t}\,v\ =\ w\,(Y^KX^L)\,v+f(wY^iX^jv)_{i<K\,,\,j<L}\,,$$

where $K=k_1+\cdots+k_t$, $L=l_1+\cdots+l_t$ and f is some polynomial function.

Proof. We prove this by induction. Suppose the statement holds for $K'<K$ and $L'<L$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&w\,Y^{k_1}X^{l_1-1}\,(XY)\,Y^{k_2-1}X^{l_2}\cdots Y^{k_t}X^{l_t}\,v\\
=\ &w\,Y^{k_1}X^{l_1-1}\,(YX-T+vw)\,Y^{k_2-1}X^{l_2}\cdots Y^{k_t}X^{l_t}\,v\\
=\ &w\,Y^{k_1}X^{l_1-1}\,(YX)\,Y^{k_2-1}X^{l_2}\cdots Y^{k_t}X^{l_t}\,v-w\,Y^{k_1}X^{l_1-1}\,\mathcal{T}\,Y^{k_2-1}X^{l_2}\cdots Y^{k_t}X^{l_t}\,v\\
&+w\,Y^{k_1}X^{l_1-1}\,vw\,Y^{k_2-1}X^{l_2}\cdots Y^{k_t}X^{l_t}\,v.
\end{aligned}$$

If we use Lemma 4.2 to the first term, Proposition 4.1 to the second one and the induction assumption to the third term in the last expression, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& w\,Y^{k_1}X^{l_1-1}\left[Y^{k_2-1}(YX)-\sum_{i=1}^{k_2-1}Y^iTY^{k_2-i-1}+\sum_{i=1}^{k_2-1}Y^ivwY^{k_2-i-1}\right]X^{l_2}\,\cdots\,Y^{k_t}X^{l_t}\,v\\
& -cw\,Y^{k_1}X^{l_1-1}Y^{k_2-1}X^{l_2}\,\cdots\,Y^{k_t}X^{l_t}\,v+g(wY^iX^jv)_{i<K,\,j<L}\\
= \;& w\,Y^{k_1}X^{l_1-1}Y^{k_2}X^{l_2+1}\,\cdots\,Y^{k_t}X^{l_t}\,v-\sum_{i=1}^{k_2-1}w\,Y^{k_1}X^{l_1-1}Y^iTY^{k_2-i-1}X^{l_2}\,\cdots\,Y^{k_t}X^{l_t}\,v\\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{k_2-1}w\,Y^{k_1}X^{l_1-1}Y^i\,v\times wY^{k_2-i-1}X^{l_2}\,\cdots Y^{k_t}X^{l_t}v-cw\,Y^{k_1}X^{l_1-1}Y^{k_2-1}X^{l_2}\,\cdots\,Y^{k_t}X^{l_t}\,v\\
& +g(wY^iX^jv)\\
= \;& w\,Y^{k_1}X^{l_1-1}Y^{k_2}X^{l_2+1}\,\cdots\,Y^{k_t}X^{l_t}\,v+\tilde{g}(wY^iX^jv)_{i<K,\,j<L}\,,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we again used Proposition 4.1 and the induction assumption. Now repeating this procedure we can move Y^{k_2} further to the left and obtain

$$w\,Y^{k_1+k_2}X^{l_1+l_2}Y^{k_3}\,\cdots\,Y^{k_t}X^{l_t}\,v+h(wY^iX^jv)$$

for some polynomial h. Similarly moving other powers of Y to the left we get

$$w\,(Y^{k_1+\cdots+k_t}X^{l_1+\cdots+l_t})\,v+f(wY^iX^jv)_{i<K,\,j<L},$$

for some polynomial f. □

Recall that for $m=1$ we have $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_m=\{1\}}(\mathbb{C}^n,\mathbb{C})\cong\mathcal{C}_n$ (see (3.7)), the n-th Calogero-Moser space. Then one has:

Theorem 4.1. *The algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}_n)$ is generated by the set $W_1:=\{w(Y+cX)^nv\}_{c\in\mathbb{C},n\in\mathbb{N}}$.*

Proof. Similar to the case $m=2$ below. □

Next we prove our main result in this section.

Theorem 4.2. *The set $W_2:=\{w(Y+cX)^{2n}v\}_{c\in\mathbb{C},n\in\mathbb{N}}$ generates $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(V,\mathcal{U}_k))$.*

Proof. We prove this statement by expressing generators wY^iX^jv of $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{M}^{\tau})$ (see Lemma 4.1(a)) as polynomials of elements of W_2. The proof is by induction on the total degree $i+j$ of wY^iX^jv. First recall that, by Lemma 4.1(b), wY^iX^jv are zero for odd values of $i+j$, so we will only consider $i+j=2n$. For $n=1$, expanding $w(Y+cX)^{2n}v$, one gets

$$wY^2v+cw(YX+XY)v+c^2wX^2v\,,$$

and since

$$w(YX+XY)v=2wYXv-wTv+(wv)^2=2wYXv+d,$$

for some $d\in\mathbb{C}$, we have

$$w(Y+cX)^2\;=\;wY^2v+2c\,wYXv+c^2\,wX^2v+c\,d. \tag{4.4}$$

By choosing distinct constants c_1,c_2 and c_3 for c in (4.4), we can show that $wY^2v,wYXv,wX^2v$ can be expressed by elements of W_2. Now assume that all elements wY^iX^jv for $i+j<2n$ can be generated by elements of W_2. Then the expansion of $w(Y+cX)^{2n}v$ by powers of c gives

$$wY^{2n}v+cw(Y^{2n-1}X+\cdots)v+c^2w(Y^{2n-2}X^2+\cdots)v+\cdots+c^{2n}wX^{2n}v.$$

By Lemma 4.2, the coefficient of c^j can be written as

$$w(Y^iX^j+\cdots)v = swY^iX^jv + f(wY^pX^qv)_{p+q<2n}.$$

Then, by the induction assumption, $f(wY^pX^qv)$ can be generated by W_2 and hence each wY^iX^jv for $i+j=2n$ can be expressed in terms of W_2. □

5. Transitivity of the G_Γ-action

Let X be a smooth algebraic variety. A subgroup G of $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ is called *algebraically generated* if it is generated as an abstract group by a family $\mathfrak{G}$ of connected algebraic subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$. Suppose $\mathfrak{G}$ is closed under conjugation by elements of G.

Definition 5.1. We say that a point $x \in X$ is *G-flexible* if the tangent space T_xX is spanned by the tangent vectors to the orbits $H\cdot x$ of subgroups $H \in \mathfrak{G}$. The variety X is called *G-flexible* if every point $x \in X$ is G-flexible.

Let us give some comments on this definition. First, since X is smooth, G-flexibility of X can be defined in terms of cotangent spaces instead of tangent spaces. Second, one can easily show that X is G-flexible if one point of X is G-flexible and G acts transitively on X.

We have the following characterization of flexible points (see [AFKKZ, Corollary 1.11]):

Proposition 5.1. *A point $x \in X$ is G-flexible if and only if the orbit $G\cdot x$ is open in X. An open G-orbit (if it exists) is unique and consists of all G-flexible points in X.*

We need the following result proved in [CB, Theorem 1.3]:

Theorem 5.1. *$\mathfrak{M}^\tau_\Gamma(V,U)$ is a reduced and irreducible scheme. In particular, it is a smooth, connected affine algebraic variety.*

Recall that $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_\Gamma(V,U)$ has a natural symplectic structure with the symplectic form $\omega = \mathrm{Tr}(dX\wedge dY)$ (see e.g. [CB]). This form gives an isomorphism between 1-forms and vector fields:

$$\mathrm{Tr}(f\,dX + g\,dY) \mapsto g\frac{\partial}{\partial X} - f\frac{\partial}{\partial Y} = (g,-f).$$

Since algebraic vector fields are in one-to-one correspondence with derivations, for any Hamiltonian $H\in\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{M}_\tau)$, the one-form dH defines a derivation of $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{M}_\tau)$, given in terms of the Poisson bracket $\{H,-\}$. One can easily verify:

Lemma 5.1. *The action of G_Γ on $\mathfrak{M}_\tau$ is symplectic.*

Therefore any one parameter subgroup of G_Γ defines Hamiltonian flow on $\mathfrak{M}_\tau$ and hence corresponds to some Hamiltonian in $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{M}_\tau)$.

Example 5.1. We show that

$$\phi_t \;=\; (X+aY^2,Y)\,(X,Y+tX^2)\,(X-aY^2,Y)$$

is a Hamiltonian flow with Hamiltonian $\mathrm{Tr}((X+aY^2)^3)$. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_t &= (X+aY^2 - a(Y+t(X+aY^2)^2)^2,\, Y+t(X+aY^2)^2),\\ \frac{d\phi_t}{dt}|_{t=0} &= (-a(Y(X+aY^2)^2+(X+aY^2)^2Y),\,(X+aY^2)^2).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}d\,\mathrm{Tr}((X+aY^2)^3) &= \mathrm{Tr}((X+aY^2)^2\,(dX+aY\,dY+a\,dY\,Y))\\ &= \mathrm{Tr}((X+aY^2)^2\,dX) + \mathrm{Tr}(a[(X+aY^2)^2Y + Y(X+aY^2)^2]\,dY).\end{aligned}$$

Using the symplectic form $\mathrm{Tr}(dX \wedge dY)$ which gives the isomorphism between 1-forms and vector fields:

$$\mathrm{Tr}(f\,dX + g\,dY) \mapsto g\frac{\partial}{\partial X} - f\frac{\partial}{\partial Y} = (g, -f),$$

one obtains the corresponding vector field

$$(-a(Y(X+aY^2)^2 + (X+aY^2)^2Y),\ (X+aY^2)^2).$$

In fact there is a well-known and more general result.

Lemma 5.2. *Let ϕ_t be a Hamiltonian flow with Hamiltonian function H and ψ is any symplectic automorphism, then $\psi\,\phi_t\,\psi^{-1}$ is a Hamiltonian flow with Hamiltonian $\psi^*H = H \circ \psi$.*

Proof. Let X_H be a Hamiltonian vector field for H, namely

$$X_H \rfloor\, \omega = dH.$$

A flow ϕ_t being the Hamiltonian flow of X_H implies

$$\frac{d\phi_t}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}(x) = X_H(x).$$

Equivalently for any function f we have

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\frac{\phi_t^*(f) - f}{t} = X_H(f).$$

For the flow $\psi\,\phi_t\,\psi^{-1}$ we have

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\frac{(\psi\,\phi_t\,\psi^{-1})^*(f) - f}{t}.$$

Slightly abusing notation we put ψ^*f for f then we have

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\frac{(\psi\,\phi_t)^*(f) - \psi^*(f)}{t} = X_H(\psi^*(f)) = \psi_*(X_H)(f).$$

Thus $\psi_*(X_H)$ is the vector field for the flow $\psi\,\phi_t\,\psi^{-1}$. Therefore

$$\psi_*(X_H)\rfloor\, \omega = \psi^*(dH) = d(\psi^*H).$$ □

From the above lemma, it follows immediately that:

Corollary 5.1. *For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have*

(a) $w\Big(X + a\,Y^{mn_1-1}\Big)^{mn_2} v$ *is Hamiltonian with the flow*

$$\phi_t = (x + ay^{mn_1-1}, y)\,(x, y + tx^{mn_2-1})\,(x - ay^{mn_1-1}, y).$$

(b) $w\Big(Y + b\,X^{mn_1-1}\Big)^{mn_2} v$ *is Hamiltonian with the flow*

$$\phi_t = (x, y + bx^{mn_1-1})\,(x + ty^{mn_2-1}, y)\,(x, y - bx^{mn_1-1}).$$

Lemma 5.3. *Let X be a smooth affine algebraic variety. If $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^n$ generates $\mathcal{O}(X)$ as an algebra, then $\{df_i\}_{i=1}^n$ generates the cotangent space at any point of X.*

Let $m = 1$. Then $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\Gamma=\{1\}}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{C}_n$ is the n-th Calogero-Moser space and $G_{\Gamma=\{1\}}$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}[x,y])$ preserving the canonical symplectic form $dx \wedge dy$. The later group can be identified with $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(A_1)$, the automorphism group of the first Weyl algebra [ML1]. We recall that $G_{\Gamma=\{1\}}$ is generated by two families of automorphisms

$$(x + a\,y^n, y) \text{ and } (x, y + b\,x^m),$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then we recover the following result of Berest and Wilson [BW1, Theorem 1.3]:

Theorem 5.2. *$G_{\Gamma=\{1\}}$ acts on $\mathcal{C}_n$ transitively for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.1, W_1 generates $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}_n)$ as an algebra. On the other hand, by Corollary 5.1, the family W_1 is Hamiltonian for the family of one-parameter subgroups $\phi_t = (x + ay, y)\,(x, y + tx^{k-1})\,(x - ay, y)$. Therefore, by Lemma 5.3, differentials of elements in W_1 span the cotangent space at any point. Hence $\mathcal{C}_n$ is a G-flexible. Since $\mathcal{C}_n$ is connected, by Proposition 5.1, $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{C}_n$. □

Similarly for $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ we have:

Theorem 5.3. *G_Γ acts transitively on $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n_0, n_1; \epsilon)$ for each $(n_0, n_1) \in L_\epsilon$.*

Proof. Recall that $G_{\mathbb{Z}_2} = \langle \Theta_\lambda, (x + \lambda y^{2k-1}, y), (x, y + \mu x^{2k-1})\rangle_{k\geq 1}$. By Theorem 4.2, elements of W_2 generate $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2})$. On the other hand, by Corollary 5.1, elements of W_2 are Hamiltonian with Hamiltonian flows $\phi_t = (x+ay^{2k-1}, y)\,(x, y+tx)\,(x-ay^{2k-1}, y)$. Hence, by Lemma 5.3, differentials of the functions from W_2 span the cotangent space at any point. Hence $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is a $G_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$-flexible variety. Since $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is connected, by Proposition 5.1, $G_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ acts transitively on $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. □

6. $\mathbb{C}^*$-fixed points of $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$

In this section we present for each quiver variety $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n_0, n_1; \epsilon)$ a distinct point for which the computation of the corresponding κ is relatively simple. More precisely, we will find a point of $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n_0, n_1; \epsilon)$ represented by a quadruple (X, Y, v, w), or equivalently by $(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1, v_\epsilon, w_\epsilon)$, such that it is fixed by the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action, where $\mathbb{C}^*$ is a subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_2} = \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(O_\tau)$. The later implies that the corresponding matrices X and Y are nilpotent and therefore the expansion (4.1) of κ is a non-commutative Laurent polynomial in x^{-1} and y^{-1}.

We now briefly outline how to construct these points. Recall that $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n_0, n_1; \epsilon)$ is nonempty if and only if $(n_0, n_1) \in L_\epsilon \subset (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^2$, where L_ϵ is defined in (3.18). We may assume that $n_0 \leq n_1$ since, by Lemma 3.1, $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n_0, n_1; 0) \cong \mathfrak{M}^{\tau'}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n_1, n_0; 1)$. First we construct points for special values of n_0 and n_1, namely for $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(k^2, k^2 + k; 0)$ and $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(k^2 - k, k^2; 1)$, where $k \geq 1$. Note that, by (3.6), the later varieties are zero-dimensional and by connectedness each of them consists of a single point. Since $G_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ acts on each of these singleton varieties, they are fixed by $G_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ and, in particular, by its subgroup $\mathbb{C}^*$. Let (X, Y, v, w) be the point of $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(k^2 - k, k^2; 1)$ such that (see (3.10))

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X_0 \\ X_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Y_1 \\ Y_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we will describe a procedure how to add $n-k^2$ rows and $n-k^2$ columns to matrices X_0, X_1, Y_0 and Y_1 to get a nilpotent point of $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n-k, n; 1)$. Similarly, one can add $n-k-k^2$ rows and $n-k-k^2$ columns to X_0, X_1, Y_0 and Y_1 to obtain a point of $\mathfrak{M}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n-k, n; 0)$.

6.1. **Prelimanaries.** In this section we give decomposition of sets $\{1, 2, \cdots, k^2\}$ and $\{1, 2, \cdots, k^2 - k\}$ into disjoint union of subsets, which will be used as index sets for defining column vectors of matrices X_i and Y_i $(i = 0, 1)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1 : &= \left\{ i(i+1) - j + 1 \;\middle|\; 1 \leq j \leq \left[\frac{k}{2}\right], j \leq i \leq k - j \right\},\\
S_2 : &= \left\{ (i+1)^2 - j \;\middle|\; 0 \leq j \leq \left[\frac{k-1}{2}\right], j \leq i \leq k - j - 1 \right\},\\
S_3 : &= \left\{ i(i+1) - j + 1 \;\middle|\; \left[\frac{k}{2}\right] + 1 \leq i \leq k-1\,,\, k - i + 1 \leq j \leq i \right\},\\
S_4 : &= \left\{ (i+1)^2 - j \;\middle|\; \left[\frac{k+1}{2}\right] \leq i \leq k-1\,,\, k - i \leq j \leq i \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have:

Lemma 6.1. (i) *For any two distinct pairs (i,j) the defining relation in S_l $(l=1,\cdots,4)$ yields distinct values.*
(ii) *$S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.*
(iii) *$\sum_{l=1}^{4} |S_l| = k^2$, where $|S_l|$ is the cardinality of S_l.*
In particular,

$$\bigsqcup_{l=1}^{4} S_l = \{1,\cdots,k^2\}\,.$$

Now we introduce another sets of integers:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1 : &= \left\{ i(i+1)-j+1 \quad \middle| \quad 1 \leq j \leq \left[\frac{k-1}{2}\right], j \leq i \leq k-j-1 \right\},\\
T_2 : &= \left\{ (i+1)^2-j \quad \middle| \quad 0 \leq j \leq \left[\frac{k-1}{2}\right], j \leq i \leq k-j-2 \right\},\\
T_3 : &= \left\{ i(i+1)-j+1 \quad \middle| \quad \left[\frac{k+1}{2}\right] \leq i \leq k-1\,, k-i \leq j \leq i \right\},\\
T_4 : &= \left\{ (i+1)^2-j \quad \middle| \quad \left[\frac{k}{2}\right] \leq i \leq k-2\,, k-i-1 \leq j \leq i \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

then one can prove a statement similar to that of Lemma 6.1:

Lemma 6.2. (i) *For any two distinct pairs (i,j) the defining relation in T_l $(l=1,\cdots,4)$ yields distinct values.*
(ii) *$T_i \cap T_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.*
(iii) *$\sum_{l=1}^{4} |T_l| = k(k-1)$.*
In particular,

$$\bigsqcup_{l=1}^{4} T_l = \{1,\cdots,k(k-1)\}\,.$$

Next we establish some important relations between sets $\{S_l\}$ and $\{T_l\}$. Let $S_4 = S_4' \sqcup S_4''$, where

$$S_4' = \left\{ k(k-1)+i \,\middle|\, 1 \leq i \leq k-1 \right\}$$

and $S_4'' = S_4 \setminus S_4'$. Hence using Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2, one obtains

$$S_1 \sqcup S_2 \setminus \{k^2\} \sqcup S_3 \sqcup S_4'' = \bigsqcup_{l=1}^{4} T_l\,.$$

The following proposition is easy to prove:

Proposition 6.1.

$$\begin{aligned}
T_3 \cap S_1 &= S_1 \setminus T_1 = \left\{ (k-j)(k-j+1)-j+1 \,\middle|\, 1 \leq j \leq \left[\frac{k}{2}\right] \right\},\\
T_4 \cap S_2 &= S_2 \setminus (T_2 \sqcup \{k^2\}) = \left\{ (k-j)^2-j \,\middle|\, 1 \leq j \leq \left[\frac{k-1}{2}\right] \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$T_3 = S_3 \sqcup (T_3 \cap S_1)\,,\; T_4 = S_4'' \sqcup (T_4 \cap S_2)\,.$$

6.2. $\mathbb{C}^*$**-fixed point of** $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(k^2-k,k^2;1)$**.** Recall that this point is represented by $(X_0,X_1,Y_0,Y_1;v_1,w_1)$, where $X_0,Y_1\in \mathrm{Mat}_{n_0\times n_1}(\mathbb{C})$, $X_1,Y_0\in \mathrm{Mat}_{n_1\times n_0}(\mathbb{C})$, $v_1\in\mathbb{C}^{n_1}$ and $w_1\in(\mathbb{C}^{n_1})^*$ such that

$$X_0Y_0 - Y_1X_1 + \tau_0\,\mathrm{Id}_{n_0} = 0, \tag{6.1}$$

$$X_1Y_1 - Y_0X_0 + \tau_1\,\mathrm{Id}_{n_1} = v_1w_1. \tag{6.2}$$

Notation. Let us introduce two more notations which will be in this and later sections: $a:=\tau_1, b:=\tau_0+\tau_1$.

6.2.1. *Matrix* Y_1. Let $\mathbf{e}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{e}_{k(k-1)}$ be the standard basis for $\mathbb{C}^{k(k-1)}$. Then define vectors $\mathbf{v}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{v}_{k^2}$ as follows.

For S_1 indices:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{v}_{i(i+1)-j+1} &:= (2j-1)\,b\,\mathbf{e}_{i^2+1-j}\\ &\quad +\big(a+2(k-i+j-1)\,b\big)\Bigg(\sum_{l=1}^{\left[\frac{i-j+1}{2}\right]}\mathbf{e}_{(i-l+1)^2-i-j+1}+\sum_{l=1}^{\left[\frac{i-j}{2}\right]}\mathbf{e}_{(i-l)(i-l+1)-i-j+1}\Bigg),\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

where $[a]$ is the integer part of a.

For S_2:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{v}_{(i+1)^2-j} &:= 2j\,b\,\mathbf{e}_{i(i+1)-j+1}\\ &\quad +\big(a+2(k-i+j-1)\,b\big)\Bigg(\sum_{l=1}^{\left[\frac{i-j+1}{2}\right]}\mathbf{e}_{(i-l+1)(i-l+2)-i-j}+\sum_{l=1}^{\left[\frac{i-j}{2}\right]}\mathbf{e}_{(i-l+1)^2-i-j}\Bigg).\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

For S_3:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{v}_{i(i+1)-j+1} &:= -\big(a+(2k-2i-1)\,b\big)\,\mathbf{e}_{i^2-j+1}\\ &\quad -\big(a+2(k-i+j-1)\,b\big)\Bigg(\sum_{l=0}^{k-i-1}\mathbf{e}_{(i+l+1)^2-i-j+1}+\sum_{l=0}^{k-i-2}\mathbf{e}_{(i+l+1)(i+l+2)-i-j+1}\Bigg).\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

For S_4:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{v}_{(i+1)^2-j} &:= -\big(a+2(k-i-1)\,b\big)\,\mathbf{e}_{i(i+1)-j+1}\\ &\quad -\big(a+2(k-i+j-1)\,b\big)\Bigg(\sum_{l=0}^{k-i-2}\mathbf{e}_{(i+l+1)(i+l+2)-i-j}+\sum_{l=0}^{k-i-2}\mathbf{e}_{(i+l+2)^2-i-j}\Bigg).\end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

Define Y_1 as an $k(k-1)\times k^2$ matrix with columns consisting of $\mathbf{v}_i$'s

$$Y_1 := [\mathbf{v}_1\,\mathbf{v}_2\,\cdots\,\mathbf{v}_{k^2}].$$

6.2.2. *Matrix* Y_0. Let $\mathbf{f}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{f}_{k^2}$ be the standard basis for $\mathbb{C}^{k^2}$. Then we introduce the following vectors. For T_1:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{u}_{i(i+1)-j+1} &:= (a+(2j-1)\,b)\,\mathbf{f}_{i(i+1)-j+1}\\ &\quad +\big(a+2(k-i+j-1)\,b\big)\Bigg(\sum_{l=1}^{\left[\frac{i-j+1}{2}\right]}\mathbf{f}_{(i-l+1)(i-l+2)-i-j}+\sum_{l=1}^{\left[\frac{i-j}{2}\right]}\mathbf{f}_{(i-l+1)^2-i-j}\Bigg).\end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

For T_2:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{u}_{(i+1)^2-j} &= (a+2j\,b)\,\mathbf{f}_{(i+1)^2-j}\\ &\quad +\big(a+2(k-i-j-1)\,b\big)\Big(\sum_{l=1}^{\left[\frac{i-j+1}{2}\right]}\mathbf{f}_{(i-l+2)^2-i-j-1}+\sum_{l=1}^{\left[\frac{i-j}{2}\right]}\mathbf{f}_{(i-l+1)(i-l+2)-i-j-1}\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{6.8}$$

For T_3:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{u}_{i(i+1)-j+1} &:= -(2k-2i-1)\,b\,\mathbf{f}_{i(i+1)-j+1}\\ &\quad -\big(a+2(k-i+j-1)\,b\big)\Big(\sum_{l=0}^{k-i-1}\mathbf{f}_{(i+l+1)(i+l+2)-i-j}+\sum_{l=0}^{k-i-2}\mathbf{f}_{(i+l+2)^2-i-j}\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{6.9}$$

For T_4:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{u}_{(i+1)^2-j} &:= -2(k-i-1)\,b\,\mathbf{f}_{(i+1)^2-j}\\ &\quad -\big(a+2(k-i+j-1)\,b\big)\Big(\sum_{l=0}^{k-i-2}\mathbf{f}_{(i+l+2)^2-i-j-1}+\sum_{l=0}^{k-i-2}\mathbf{f}_{(i+l+2)(i+l+3)-i-j-1}\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{6.10}$$

Thus, we can define Y_0 as a $k^2\times k(k-1)$ matrix with columns consisting of $\mathbf{u}_i$'s

$$Y_0 := [\,\mathbf{u}_1\,\mathbf{u}_2\,\cdots\,\mathbf{u}_{k(k-1)}\,].$$

6.2.3. *Matrices X_0 and X_1.* We define

$$X_0 := [\,\mathbf{e}_1\,\cdots\,\mathbf{e}_{k(k-1)}\mathbf{0}\,\cdots\,\mathbf{0}\,],$$

where the number of $\mathbf{0}$ column vectors is exactly k. For

$$X_1 := [\,\mathbf{w}_1\,\cdots\,\mathbf{w}_{k(k-1)}\,],$$

where $\mathbf{w}_i$'s are defined as follows. For $1\le i\le k-1$ and $1\le j\le 2i$,

$$\mathbf{w}_{i(i-1)+j} := \mathbf{f}_{i^2+j}\,.$$

6.2.4. *The vector v_1 and the covector w_1.* To compute v_1 and w_1, first we need to see effects of multiplications by matrices X_0 and X_1. Indeed, one can easily check that the multiplication by X_0 from the left to the $k^2\times k(k-1)$ matrix A produces a $k(k-1)\times k(k-1)$ matrix obtained from A by removing the last k rows. If we multiply by X_0 from the right to A then this gives the $k^2\times k^2$ matrix obtained by adding k zero columns to the right end of A.

Next, if we multiply by X_1 from the left to a $k(k-1)\times k^2$ matrix B, then one obtains the $k^2\times k^2$ matrix whose i^2-th ($i=1,\cdots,k$) rows are zero rows and if we remove those rows, we get exactly B. While multiplication by X_1 from the right to B produces the $k(k-1)\times k(k-1)$ matrix obtained from B by removing columns on the i^2-th positions where $i=1,\cdots,k$.

Thus, one has

$$X_0Y_0 = [\,\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_1\,\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_2\,\cdots\,\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{k(k-1)}\,],\quad Y_0X_0=[\mathbf{u}_1\,\mathbf{u}_2\,\cdots\,\mathbf{u}_{k(k-1)}\,\mathbf{0}\,\cdots\,\mathbf{0}],\tag{6.11}$$

$$X_1Y_1 = [\,\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1\,\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_2\,\cdots\,\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{k^2}\,],\quad Y_1X_1=[\,\mathbf{v}'_1\,\mathbf{v}'_2\,\cdots\,\mathbf{v}'_{k(k-1)}\,],\tag{6.12}$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{u}},\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ and $\mathbf{v}'$ are defined as follows. First, for $i\in T_1\sqcup T_2$, the vector $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i$, can be obtained from the corresponding $\mathbf{u}_i$ by replacing the standard vectors $\mathbf{f}\in\mathbb{C}^{k^2}$ in (6.7) or (6.8) by the standard vectors $\mathbf{e}\in\mathbb{C}^{k(k-1)}$. Next, the vector $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i$, for $i\in T_3$, can be presented as in (6.9), where $\mathbf{f}$ is replaced by $\mathbf{e}$ and $k-i-1$, the upper limit in the first summation, is replaced by $k-i-2$. Then, the vector $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i$ for $i\in T_4$, can be presented as in (6.10), where $\mathbf{f}$ is replaced by $\mathbf{e}$ and $k-i-2$, the upper limit in the second summations, is replaced by $k-i-3$.

Next, we define $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_i$. For S_1 indices:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{i(i+1)-j+1} &:= (2j-1)\,b\,\mathbf{f}_{i(i+1)-j+1}\\ &\quad +\big(a+2(k-i+j-1)\,b\big)\Bigg(\sum_{l=1}^{\left[\frac{i-j+1}{2}\right]}\mathbf{f}_{(i-l+1)(i-l+2)-i-j}+\sum_{l=1}^{\left[\frac{i-j}{2}\right]}\mathbf{f}_{(i-l+1)^2-i-j}\Bigg)\,. \end{aligned}\tag{6.13}$$

For S_2 indices:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{(i+1)^2-j} &:= 2j\,b\,\mathbf{f}_{(i+1)^2-j}\\ &\quad +\big(a+2(k-i+j-1)\,b\big)\Bigg(\sum_{l=1}^{\left[\frac{i-j+1}{2}\right]}\mathbf{f}_{(i-l+2)^2-i-j-1}+\sum_{l=1}^{\left[\frac{i-j}{2}\right]}\mathbf{f}_{(i-l+1)(i-l+2)-i-j-1}\Bigg)\,. \end{aligned}\tag{6.14}$$

For S_3 indices:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{i(i+1)-j+1} &:= -\big(a+(2k-2i-1)\,b\big)\,\mathbf{f}_{i(i+1)-j+1}\\ &\quad -\big(a+2(k-i+j-1)\,b\big)\Bigg(\sum_{l=0}^{k-i-1}\mathbf{f}_{(i+l+1)(i+l+2)-i-j}+\sum_{l=0}^{k-i-2}\mathbf{f}_{(i+l+2)^2-i-j}\Bigg)\,. \end{aligned}\tag{6.15}$$

For S_4 indices:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{(i+1)^2-j} &:= -\big(a+2(k-i-1)\,b\big)\,\mathbf{f}_{(i+1)^2-j}\\ &\quad -\big(a+2(k-i+j-1)\,b\big)\Bigg(\sum_{l=0}^{k-i-2}\mathbf{f}_{(i+l+2)^2-i-j-1}+\sum_{l=0}^{k-i-2}\mathbf{f}_{(i+l+2)(i+l+3)-i-j-1}\Bigg)\,. \end{aligned}\tag{6.16}$$

Finally, define $\mathbf{v}'_i$ as follows

$$T_1\sqcup T_3\ :\quad \mathbf{v}'_{i(i+1)-j+1}=\mathbf{v}_{(i+1)^2-j}\,,\tag{6.17}$$

$$T_2\sqcup T_4\ :\quad \mathbf{v}'_{(i+1)^2-j}=\mathbf{v}_{(i+1)(i+2)-j}\,.\tag{6.18}$$

Thus, by using this and the above description of $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i$'s, we obtain

$$X_0Y_0-Y_1X_1\ =[\,\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_1-\mathbf{v}'_1\ \cdots\ \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{k(k-1)}-\mathbf{v}'_{k(k-1)}\,]=(a-b)\,\mathrm{Id}_{k(k-1)},\tag{6.19}$$

where $\mathrm{Id}_{k(k-1)}$ is the $k(k-1)\times k(k-1)$ identity matrix.

Next by (6.11) and (6.12), one can write

$$X_1Y_1-Y_0X_0+a\,\mathrm{Id}_{k^2}\tag{6.20}$$

as

$$\begin{aligned}&[\,\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1-\mathbf{u}_1-a\,\mathbf{f}_1\quad\cdots\quad\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{k(k-1)}-\mathbf{u}_{k(k-1)}+a\,\mathbf{f}_{k(k-1)}\\ &\qquad\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{k(k-1)+1}+a\ \mathbf{f}_{k(k-1)+1}\quad\cdots\quad\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{k^2}+a\,\mathbf{f}_{k^2}\,]\,.\end{aligned}$$

To compute this expression, we use (6.7)-(6.10), (6.13)-(6.16) and the following decomposition (see Proposition 6.1 and discussion before)

$$\{1,2,\cdots,k^2\}=T_1\sqcup T_2\sqcup S_3\sqcup S_4\sqcup(T_3\cap S_1)\sqcup(T_4\cap S_2)\sqcup\{k^2\}\,.$$

Explicitly, for T_1 indices, one computes

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{i(i+1)-j+1}-\mathbf{u}_{i(i+1)-j+1}+a\,\mathbf{f}_{i(i+1)-j+1}=0\,.\tag{6.21}$$

The same vanishing result is true for T_2, S_3 and S_4 indices.

Now for $T_3 \cap S_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{(k-j)(k-j+1)-j+1} - \mathbf{u}_{(k-j)(k-j+1)-j+1} \\
= \;& (4j-2)\, b\, \mathbf{f}_{(k-j)(k-j+1)-j+1} \\
&+\big(a+(4j-2)\, b\big)\Big(\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{k+1}{2}-j} \mathbf{f}_{(k-j-l+1)(k-j-l+2)-k} + \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{k-1}{2}-j} \mathbf{f}_{(k-j-l+1)^2-k} \Big) \\
&+\big(a+(4j-2)\, b\big)\Big(\sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \mathbf{f}_{(k-j+l+1)(k-j+l+2)-k} + \sum_{l=0}^{j-2} \mathbf{f}_{(k-j+l+2)^2-k} \Big). \qquad (6.22)
\end{aligned}$$

By change of variables in the above summations, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{(k-j)(k-j+1)-j+1} - \mathbf{u}_{(k-j)(k-j+1)-j+1} + a\, \mathbf{f}_{(k-j)(k-j+1)-j+1} \\
=\;& \big(a+(4j-2)\, b\big)\Big(\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{k+1}{2}} \mathbf{f}_{(k-l+1)(k-l+2)-k} + \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \mathbf{f}_{(k-l+1)^2-k} \Big). \qquad (6.23)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $T_4 \cap S_2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{(k-j)^2-j} - \mathbf{u}_{(k-j)^2-j} + a\, \mathbf{f}_{(k-j)^2-j} \\
=\;& \big(a+4j\, b\big)\Big(\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{k+1}{2}} \mathbf{f}_{(k-l+1)(k-l+2)-k} + \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \mathbf{f}_{(k-l+1)^2-k} \Big) \qquad (6.24)
\end{aligned}$$

and finally for k^2, one has

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{k^2} + a\, \mathbf{f}_{k^2} = a\left(\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{k+1}{2}} \mathbf{f}_{(k-l+1)(k-l+2)-k} + \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \mathbf{f}_{(k-l+1)^2-k} \right). \qquad (6.25)$$

Thus, by (6.21)-(6.25) all the columns (6.20) except those from the set $(T_3 \cap S_1) \sqcup (T_4 \cap S_2) \sqcup \{k^2\}$ are zero. Moreover, we can state

Proposition 6.2. (6.20) *is a rank one matrix and the* (i,j)*-th entry is non-zero if and only if* $i, j \in (T_3 \cap S_1) \sqcup (T_4 \cap S_2) \sqcup \{k^2\}$.

Proof. First, (6.23)-(6.25) are linearly dependent vectors and hence (6.20) is a rank one matrix.

The second assertion is also easy to show. The vectors in (6.23)-(6.25) are indexed by the set $(T_3 \cap S_1) \sqcup (T_4 \cap S_2) \sqcup \{k^2\}$ and they are colinear to

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{k+1}{2}} \mathbf{f}_{(k-l+1)(k-l+2)-k} + \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \mathbf{f}_{(k-l+1)^2-k}\,. \qquad (6.26)$$

So one only needs to show

$$\begin{aligned}
(T_3 \cap S_1) \sqcup (T_4 \cap S_2) \sqcup \{k^2\} \;=\;& \left\{ (k-l+1)(k-l+2)-k,\, 1 \le l \le \frac{k+1}{2} \right\} \\
& \bigsqcup \left\{ (k-l+1)^2-k,\, 1 \le l \le \frac{k-1}{2} \right\}. \qquad (6.27)
\end{aligned}$$

For $2 \le l \le \frac{k+1}{2}$,

$$(k-l+1)(k-l+2)-k = (k-l+1)^2 - l + 1,$$

which are exactly the elements of $T_4 \cap S_2$, while for $l = 1$, $(k-l+1)(k-l+2)-k = k^2$. On the other hand, for $1 \leq l \leq \frac{k-1}{2}$,

$$(k-l+1)^2 - k = (k-l)(k-l+1) - l + 1,$$

which are exactly the elements of $T_3 \cap S_1$. □

Remark. Note that the identity (6.27) can be rewritten as

$$(T_3 \cap S_1) \sqcup (T_4 \cap S_2) \sqcup \{k^2\} = \left\{ l(l+1) - k,\ \frac{k+1}{2} \leq l \leq k \right\} \bigsqcup \left\{ l^2 - k,\ \frac{k+3}{2} \leq l \leq k \right\},$$

and (6.26) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{l=\frac{k+1}{2}}^{k} \mathbf{f}_{l(l+1)-k} + \sum_{l=\frac{k+3}{2}}^{k} \mathbf{f}_{l^2-k}\,. \tag{6.28}$$

Thus, from Proposition 6.2 and the Remark after it, we conclude:

Corollary 6.1. *Let v_1 and w_1 be defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &:= \sum_{l=\frac{k+1}{2}}^{k} \mathbf{f}_{l(l+1)-k} + \sum_{l=\frac{k+3}{2}}^{k} \mathbf{f}_{l^2-k}\,, \\ w_1 &:= \sum_{l=\frac{k+1}{2}}^{k} \big(a + 4(k-l)\,b\big)\, \mathbf{f}^{\mathrm{t}}_{l(l+1)-k} + \sum_{l=\frac{k+3}{2}}^{k} \big(a + (4k-4l+2)\,b\big)\, \mathbf{f}^{\mathrm{t}}_{l^2-k}, \end{aligned}$$

where t *is taking the transpose of* $\mathbf{f}$*. Then $(X_0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1; v_1, w_1)$ is the point of $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(k^2-k, k^2; 1)$.*

6.3. **$\mathbb{C}^*$-fixed point of $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n-k, n; 1)$.** Using the above description of the nilpotent point of $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(k^2-k, k^2; 1)$, we construct a $\mathbb{C}^*$-fixed point of $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n-k, n; 1)$.

6.3.1. *Matrices X_0 and X_1.* They are obtained from their $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(k^2-k, k^2; 1)$ counterparts by forming two by two block diagonal matrices where on the top left corner we place the idenity matix of size $n-k^2$. More explicitly, let $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{n-k}$ and $\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{f}_n$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^{n-k}$ and $\mathbb{C}^n$ respectively. Then

$$X_0 := [\, \mathbf{e}_1\, \mathbf{e}_2\, \cdots\, \mathbf{e}_{n-k}\, \mathbf{0}\, \cdots\, \mathbf{0}\,],$$

where the number of $\mathbf{0}$ columns is exactly k, while X_1 is

$$X_1 := [\, \mathbf{w}_1\, \mathbf{w}_2\, \cdots\, \mathbf{w}_{n-k}\,],$$

where $\mathbf{w}_i$'s are defined as follows. For $1 \leq s \leq n-k^2$, $\mathbf{w}_s := \mathbf{f}_{s+1}$, and for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2i$,

$$\mathbf{w}_{i(i-1)+j+n-k^2} := \mathbf{f}_{i^2+j+n-k^2}\,.$$

6.3.2. *Matrix Y_0.* We introduce vectors $\mathbf{h}_i \in \mathbb{C}^n (1 \leq i \leq n-k)$ so that

$$Y_0 := [\, \mathbf{h}_1\, \mathbf{h}_2\, \cdots\, \mathbf{h}_{n-k}\,].$$

First, we recall that $Y_0 := [\, \mathbf{u}_1\, \mathbf{u}_2 \cdots \mathbf{u}_{k(k-1)}] \in \mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(k^2-k, k^2; 1)$, where $\mathbf{u}_i$'s are defined as in (6.7)-(6.10). Let $\mathbf{h}'_i (i = 1, \cdots, k^2-k)$ be vectors in $\mathbb{C}^n$ defined as follows. If $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, we set $\mathbf{h}'_i$ to be defined by the same formulas as $\mathbf{u}_i$. If $k \leq i \leq k^2$ then $\mathbf{h}'_i$ is defined as $\mathbf{u}_i$, except indices of $\mathbf{f}$ shifted down by $n-k^2$, that is, a term $\mathbf{f}_i$ should be replaced by $\mathbf{f}_{i+n-k^2}$. Next, let T_{3d} and T_{4d} be subsets of T_3 and T_4 respectively, consisting elements for which $j = i$:

$$T_{3d} = \left\{ i^2 + 1 \,\middle|\, \left[\frac{k+1}{2}\right] \leq i \leq k-1 \right\},\ T_{4d} = \left\{ (i+1)^2 - i \,\middle|\, \left[\frac{k}{2}\right] \leq i \leq k-2 \right\}.$$

Then define

$$\mathbf{h}_s := \begin{cases} \mathbf{h}'_s, & \text{for } 1 \le s \le k-1, \\ \big(a+(s-1)\,b\big)\mathbf{e}_s, & \text{for } k \le s \le n-k^2+k-1, \\ \mathbf{h}_{s'-n+k^2}, & \text{for } s \in [n-k^2+k, n-k] \setminus \big(n-k^2+T_{3d} \cup T_{4d}\big). \end{cases}$$

It remains to define $\mathbf{h}_s$ for $s \in n-k^2+T_{3d} \cup T_{4d}$. For $s \in n-k^2+T_{3d}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}_s \;:=\; & -(2k-2i-1)\,b\,\mathbf{f}_{i^2+1+n-k^2} \\ & -\big(a+2(k-1+n-k^2)\,b\big)\Big(\sum_{l=0}^{k-i-1}\mathbf{f}_{(i+l+1)(i+l+2)-2i+n-k^2}+\sum_{l=0}^{k-i-2}\mathbf{f}_{(i+l+2)^2-2i+n-k^2}\Big), \end{aligned}$$

and for $s \in n-k^2+T_{4d}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}_s \;:=\; & -2(k-i-1)\,b\,\mathbf{f}_{(i+1)^2-i+n-k^2} \\ & -\big(a+2(k-1+n-k^2)\,b\big)\Big(\sum_{l=0}^{k-i-2}\mathbf{f}_{(i+l+2)^2-2i-1+n-k^2}+\sum_{l=0}^{k-i-2}\mathbf{f}_{(i+l+2)(i+l+3)-2i-1+n-k^2}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

6.3.3. *Matrix Y_1.* We introduce vectors $\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{g}_n \in \mathbb{C}^{n-k}$ so that

$$Y_1 := [\,\mathbf{g}_1\,\mathbf{g}_2\,\cdots\,\mathbf{g}_n\,].$$

Recall that $Y_1 = [\mathbf{v}_1\,\mathbf{v}_2\,\cdots\mathbf{v}_{k^2}] \in \mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(k^2-k, k^2; 1)$, where $\mathbf{v}_i$'s are defined in (6.3)-(6.6). As above, first, we introduce vectors $\mathbf{g}'_i \in \mathbb{C}^{n-k}\,(i=1,\cdots,k^2)$. If $1 \le i \le k-1$, we set $\mathbf{g}'_i$ to be defined by the same formula as $\mathbf{v}_i$. If $k \le i \le k^2-k$ then $\mathbf{g}'_i$ is defined as $\mathbf{u}_i$ except indices of $\mathbf{e}$ are shifted down by $n-k^2$. Then we define

$$\mathbf{g}_s := \begin{cases} \mathbf{g}'_s, & \text{for } 1 \le s \le k-1, \\ (s-1)\,b\,\mathbf{e}_{s-1}, & \text{for } k \le s \le n-k^2+k-1, \\ \mathbf{g}'_{s-n+k^2}, & \text{for } s = n-k^2+k, \\ \mathbf{g}'_{s-n+k^2+1}+(n-k^2)\,b\,\mathbf{e}_{n-k^2+k-1}, & \text{for } s = n-k^2+k+1, \\ \mathbf{g}'_{s-n+k^2}, & \text{for } s \in [n-k^2+k+2, n-k] \\ & \text{but } s \notin \big(n-k^2+S_{3d} \cup S_{4d}\big), \end{cases}$$

where

$$S_{3d} = \left\{ i^2+1 \,\middle|\, \left[\frac{k}{2}\right]+1 \le i \le k-1 \right\}, \quad S_{4d} = \left\{ (i+1)^2-i \,\middle|\, \left[\frac{k+1}{2}\right] \le i \le k-1 \right\}.$$

For $s \in n-k^2+S_{3d}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}_s \;:=\; & -\big(a+(2k-2i-1)\,b\big)\,\mathbf{e}_{i^2-i+1+n-k^2} \\ & -\big(a+2(k-1+n-k^2)\,b\big)\Big(\sum_{l=0}^{k-i-1}\mathbf{e}_{(i+l+1)^2-2i+1+n-k^2}+\sum_{l=0}^{k-i-2}\mathbf{e}_{(i+l+1)(i+l+2)-2i+1+n-k^2}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

For $s \in n-k^2+S_{4d}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}_s \;:=\; & -\big(a+2(k-i-1)\,b\big)\,\mathbf{e}_{i^2+1+n-k} \\ & -\big(a+2(k-1+n-k^2)\,b\big)\Big(\sum_{l=0}^{k-i-2}\mathbf{e}_{(i+l+1)(i+l+2)-2i+n-k^2}+\sum_{l=0}^{k-i-2}\mathbf{e}_{(i+l+2)^2-2i+n-k^2}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

6.3.4. *The vector v_1 and the covector w_1.* We can carry out the same type of computations like in the subsection 6.2.4 to find v_1 and w_1 and prove the following proposition:

Proposition 6.3. *Let X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1 be defined as above, and let*

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &:= \sum_{l=\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]+1}^{k} \mathbf{f}_{l(l+1)-k+n-k^2} + \sum_{l=\left[\frac{k+1}{2}\right]+1}^{k} \mathbf{f}_{l^2-k+n-k^2}\,, \\ w_1 &:= \sum_{l=\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]+1}^{k} \big(a+4(k-l)\,b\big)\,\mathbf{f}^{\mathrm{t}}_{l(l+1)-k+n-k^2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=\left[\frac{k+1}{2}\right]+1}^{k} \big(a+(4k-4l+2)\,b\big)\,\mathbf{f}^{\mathrm{t}}_{l^2-k+n-k^2} + (n-k^2)\,b\,\mathbf{f}^{\mathrm{t}}_{\left[\frac{k^2}{4}\right]+1+n-k^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $(X_0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1; v_1, w_1)$ is a $\mathbb{C}^*$-fixed point of $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n-k, n; 1)$.

6.4. **$\mathbb{C}^*$-fixed points of $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n-k, n; 0)$.** $\mathbb{C}^*$-fixed points of quiver varieties $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n-k, n; 0)$ can be defined in a similar way as has been done for $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n-k, n; 1)$. We leave the details to interested reader.

7. Computations of κ for $\mathbb{C}^*$-fixed points

Recall that for $(X, Y, v, w) \in \mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\Gamma}$ the corresponding κ can be computed as follows

$$\kappa = 1 - \sum_{l,q\geq 0} (wY^l X^q v)\, y^{-l-1} x^{-q-1}\,.$$

If (X, Y, v, w) is a $\mathbb{C}^*$-fixed point then $wY^l X^q v = 0$ unless $l = q$, since the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action is given by $(X, Y, v, w) \mapsto (\lambda X, \lambda^{-1} Y, v, w)$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Thus, we have

$$\kappa = 1 - \sum_{l\geq 0} (wY^l X^l v)\, y^{-l-1} x^{-l-1}\,.$$

To compute coefficients $wY^l X^l v$ we need:

Proposition 7.1. *Let (X, Y, v, w) be a point of $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(V, \mathcal{U}_k)$. Then for $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,*

$$wY^l X^l v = w_1 \prod_{q=0}^{\left[\frac{l-1}{2}\right]} \big(Y_0X_0 + q(\tau_0+\tau_1)\,\mathrm{Id}\big) \cdot \prod_{q=1}^{\left[\frac{l}{2}\right]} \big(Y_0X_0 + q\tau_0 + (q-1)\tau_1)\,\mathrm{Id}\big)\, v_1.$$

Proof. We may assume that l is odd and leave the proof of the even case to the interested reader. Using (6.1) and (6.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} wY^l X^l v &= w_1\,(Y_0Y_1)^{\frac{l-1}{2}} Y_0X_0 (X_1X_0)^{\frac{l-1}{2}}\, v_1 \\ &= w_1\,(Y_0Y_1)^{\frac{l-1}{2}}\,(X_1Y_1 + \tau_1 \mathrm{Id}_{n_1} - v_1w_1)(X_1X_0)^{\frac{l-1}{2}}\, v_1 \\ &= w_1\,(Y_0Y_1)^{\frac{l-1}{2}}\,(X_1Y_1 + \tau_1 \mathrm{Id}_{n_1})(X_1X_0)^{\frac{l-1}{2}}\, v_1 - wY^{\frac{l-1}{2}} v \cdot wX^{\frac{l-1}{2}} v \\ &= w_1\,(Y_0Y_1)^{\frac{l-3}{2}} Y_0\,(Y_1X_1)(Y_1X_1 + \tau_1 \mathrm{Id}_{n_0})\,X_0 (X_1X_0)^{\frac{l-3}{2}}\, v_1 \\ &= w_1\,(Y_0Y_1)^{\frac{l-3}{2}} Y_0\,(X_0Y_0 + \tau_0 \mathrm{Id}_{n_0})(X_0Y_0 + (\tau_0+\tau_1)\mathrm{Id}_{n_0})\,X_0 (X_1X_0)^{\frac{l-3}{2}}\, v_1 \\ &= w_1\,(Y_0Y_1)^{\frac{l-3}{2}}\,(Y_0X_0)(Y_0X_0 + \tau_0 \mathrm{Id}_{n_1})(Y_0X_0 + (\tau_0+\tau_1)\mathrm{Id}_{n_1})\,(X_1X_0)^{\frac{l-3}{2}}\, v_1. \end{aligned}$$

Now if $l = 3$ then we are done, otherwise we repeatedly use (6.1) and (6.2) to obtain our formula. □

7.1. κ **for the $\mathbb{C}^*$-fixed point of $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(k^2-k,k^2;1)$.** In view of Proposition 7.1, we need to see the action of Y_0X_0 on v_1. Recall that in this case

$$v_1 \;=\; \sum_{l=\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]+1}^{k} \mathbf{f}_{l(l+1)-k} + \sum_{l=\left[\frac{k+1}{2}\right]+1}^{k} \mathbf{f}_{l^2-k}\,.$$

Using (6.11), for $\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]+1 \le l \le k-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0X_0\,\mathbf{f}_{l(l+1)-k} = \mathbf{u}_{l(l+1)-k} \;&=\; -2(k-l)\,b\,\mathbf{f}_{l(l+1)-k} \\ &\quad -\big(a+4(k-l)\,b\big)\Big(\sum_{s=l+1}^{k}\mathbf{f}_{s^2-k}+\sum_{s=l+1}^{k}\mathbf{f}_{s(s+1)-k}\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

and for $l=k$, $l(l+1)-k=k^2$, we have

$$Y_0X_0\,\mathbf{f}_{k^2}=0\,. \tag{7.2}$$

For $\left[\frac{k+1}{2}\right]+1 \le l \le k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0X_0\,\mathbf{f}_{l^2-k} = \mathbf{u}_{l^2-k} \;&=\; -(2k-2l+1)\,b\,\mathbf{f}_{l^2-k} \\ &\quad -\big(a+2(2k-2l+1)\,b\big)\Big(\sum_{s=l+1}^{k}\mathbf{f}_{s^2-k}+\sum_{s=l}^{k}\mathbf{f}_{s(s+1)-k}\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

Let $\{\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i\}_{i=1}^{k}$ be a set of vectors defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{2l-\left(\left[\frac{k+1}{2}\right]-\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]\right)} \;&:=\; \mathbf{f}_{\left(l+\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]\right)\left(l+\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]+1\right)-k}, \quad \text{for } 1\le l\le\left[\frac{k+1}{2}\right], \\ \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{2l+\left(\left[\frac{k+1}{2}\right]-\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]\right)} \;&=\; \mathbf{f}_{\left(l+\left[\frac{k+1}{2}\right]\right)^2-k}, \quad \text{for } 1\le l\le\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then relations (7.1)-(7.3) are equivalent to

$$Y_0X_0\,\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i = -(k-i)\,b\,\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i - \big(a+2(k-i)\,b\big)\sum_{s=i+1}^{k}\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_s\,,\ 1\le i\le k\,. \tag{7.4}$$

Thus Y_0X_0 acts as a linear transformation on the subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{k^2}$ spanned by $\{\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i\}$, and we denote by A the corresponding matrix of this transformation with respect to to the basis $\{\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i\}$ (note they are linearly independent, since $\mathbf{f}_i$'s are). It is clear from (7.4) that A is a lower triangular matrix. Now it is elementary linear algebra to show that A can be diagonalized by choosing the basis $\{\mathbf{g}_i\}_{i=1}^{k}$ defined by

$$\mathbf{g}_i \;:=\; \sum_{j=i}^{k} c_{ij}\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j\,, \tag{7.5}$$

where $c_{ij}=0$ for $i>j$, $c_{ii}=1$ and for $i<j$,

$$c_{ij} := \frac{(z+2(k-i))_{j-i}}{(j-i)!}, \tag{7.6}$$

here $z:=a/b$ and $(z)_n=\prod_{r=0}^{n-1}(z-r)$ is the *lower Pochhammer symbol*. Explicitly, we have $D=CAC^{-1}$, where $C=(c_{ij})$ and $D=\mathrm{Diag}[-(k-1)b,-(k-2)b,\cdots,0\,]$.

Next, we express v_1 and w_1 in the basis $\{\mathbf{g}_i\}$. Recall that

$$v_1=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i\,,\quad w_1=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\big(a+2(k-i)\,b\big)\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i^{\,t}\,. \tag{7.7}$$

It is easy to verify that $C^{-1} = (d_{ij})$, where $d_{ij} = 0$ for $i > j$, $d_{ii} = 1$ and for $i < j$,

$$d_{ij} = (-1)^{j-i}\,\frac{z+2(k-i)}{(j-i)!}\,(z+2k-i-j-1)_{j-i-1}\,. \tag{7.8}$$

Since $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i = \sum_{j=i}^{k} d_{ij}\mathbf{g}_j$ we have $v_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{j=i}^{k} d_{ij}\mathbf{g}_j$. Now we can compute wY^lX^lv. To make notations simpler, we compute $wY^{2l}X^{2l}v$, since computations for odd ones will be essentially the same. By Proposition 7.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
wY^{2l}X^{2l}v &= w_1\prod_{q=0}^{l-1}\big(Y_0X_0 + q(\tau_0+\tau_1)\,\mathrm{Id}\big)\cdot\prod_{q=1}^{l}\big(Y_0X_0 + (q\tau_0+(q-1)\tau_1)\,\mathrm{Id}\big)\,v_1\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{j=i}^{k} d_{ij}\prod_{q=0}^{l-1}\big(-(k-j)\,b + q(\tau_0+\tau_1)\big)\prod_{q=1}^{l}\big(-(k-j)\,b + q\tau_0 + (q-1)\tau_1\big)\,w_1\cdot\mathbf{g}_j\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{j=i}^{k} d_{ij}\prod_{q=0}^{l-1}(q-k+j)\,b\prod_{q=1}^{l}\big(-a+(q-k+j)\,b\big)\sum_{m=j}^{k} c_{jm}\,w_1\cdot\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_m\\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{k}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{j=i}^{m}(a+2(k-m)\,b)\,d_{ij}c_{jm}\prod_{q=0}^{l-1}(q-k+j)\,b\prod_{q=1}^{l}\big(-a+(q-k+j)\,b\big)\\
&= b^{2l+1}\sum_{m=1}^{k}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{j=i}^{m} d_{ij}c_{jm}\,(z+2(k-m))\,(k-j)_l\,(z+k-j-1)_l, \qquad (7.9)
\end{aligned}$$

where $w_1\cdot\mathbf{f}_m = a+2(k-m)\,b$ is the usual dot product of vectors and we recall that $z = a/b$.

Next we need to find $d_{ij}\,c_{jm}$. For $i = j = m$ we have $d_{ij}\,c_{jm} = 1$. For $i < m$, using (7.6) and (7.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{ij}c_{jm} &= (-1)^{j-i}\,\frac{z+2(k-i)}{(j-i)!}\,(z+2k-i-j-1)_{j-i-1}\,\frac{\big(z+2(k-j)\big)_{m-j}}{(m-j)!}\\
&= (-1)^{j-i}\,\frac{z+2(k-i)}{(j-i)!\,(m-j)!}\,(z+2k-i-j-1)_{m-i-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus from (7.9) one obtains $wY^{2l}X^{2l}v = b^{2l+1}F_{k,2l}(z)$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{k,2l}(z) &:= \sum_{m=2}^{k}\big(z+2(k-m)\big)\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\big(z+2(k-i)\big)\sum_{j=i}^{m}(-1)^{j-i}\,\frac{(z+2k-i-j-1)_{m-i-1}}{(j-i)!\,(m-j)!}\\
&\quad\times(k-j)_l\,(z+k-j-1)_l + \sum_{m=1}^{k}\big(z+2(k-m)\big)(k-m)_l(z+k-m-1)_l.
\end{aligned}$$

We claim the following lemma, whose proof will be given in the Appendix:

Lemma 7.1. *For all $k \geq 2$ and $0 \leq l \leq k-1$,*

$$F_{k,2l}(z) = \binom{k+l}{2l+1}\,(z+k+l-1)_{2l+1},$$

and, similarly, for all $k \geq 2$ and $0 \leq l \leq k-2$

$$F_{k,2l+1}(z) = -\binom{k+l}{2l+2}\,(z+k+l)_{2l+2}\,.$$

For all other values of k and l the corresponding $F_{k,l}(z) = 0$.

It follows from Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 7.1 that:

Corollary 7.1. *For* $(X_0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1; v_1, w_1) \in \mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(k^2-k, k^2; 1)$*, we have*

$$\kappa = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{2k-1} A_l\, y^{-l} x^{-l}\,, \tag{7.10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{2l-1} &= -\binom{k+l-1}{2l-1} \prod_{r=1}^{2l-1} \big((k+l-r-1)\tau_0 + (k+l-r)\tau_1\big), \\ A_{2l} &= \binom{k+l-1}{2l} \prod_{r=0}^{2l-1} \big((k+l-r-1)\tau_0 + (k+l-r)\tau_1\big). \end{aligned}$$

7.2. κ **for** $\mathbb{C}^*$**-fixed points of** $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n-k, n;\, 1)$ **and** $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n, n-k;\, 0)$**.** The computation of κ for the point $(X_0, Y_0, Y_0, Y_1; v_1, w_1)$ defined in Proposition 6.3 is essentially the same as in the previous section with some minor modifications. First, recall that C is the change of basis matrix from $\{\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i\}$ to $\{\mathbf{g}_i\}$ for the point of $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(k^2-k, k^2; 1)$(see (7.5)-(7.6)). Let $\tilde{C} = (\tilde{c}_{ij})$ be that one for the above point of $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n-k, n; 1)$. Then $\tilde{c}_{ij} = c_{ij}$ if $i \neq 1$ and

$$\tilde{c}_{1j} = c_{1j} + \frac{(n-k^2)(z+2k-3)_{j-2}}{(j-1)!}\,,$$

where c_{ij} are defined in (7.6). The vectors v_1 and w_1 in the basis $\{\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i\}$ will be presented as (compare to (7.7))

$$v_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i\,, \quad w_1 = (n-k^2)\, b\, \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_1^{\mathrm{t}} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \big(a + 2(k-i)\, b\big)\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i^{\mathrm{t}}\,. \tag{7.11}$$

Next, it is easy to verify that $\tilde{C}^{-1} = (\tilde{d}_{ij})$ is given by $\tilde{d}_{ij} = d_{ij}$ if $i \neq 1$ and

$$\tilde{d}_{1j} := d_{1j} + (-1)^{j-i} \frac{n-k^2}{(j-1)!}\,(z+2k-j-2)_{j-2}\,,$$

where d_{ij} is defined in (7.8). If we let $\tilde{F}_{k,l}(z) := b^{l+1} w Y^l X^l v$, then arguing as in (7.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_{k,2l}(z) &= F_{k,2l}(z) + (n-k^2)\bigg((k-1)_l\,(z+k-2)_l + \sum_{m=2}^{k} \big(z + 2(k-m)\big) \\ &\qquad \times \sum_{j=1}^{m} (-1)^{j-1}\, \frac{(z+2k-j-2)_{m-2}}{(j-1)!\,(m-j)!} (k-j)_l\,(z+k-j-1)_l \bigg) \\ &= F_{k,2l}(z) + (n-k^2)\hat{H}_{k-1,2l}(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $F_{k,2l}(z)$ and $\hat{H}_{k-1,2l}(z)$ are computed in Lemma 7.1. Similarly, we can show

$$\tilde{F}_{k,2l+1}(z) = F_{k,2l+1}(z) + \hat{H}_{k-1,2l+1}(z)\,.$$

Thus we obtain:

Proposition 7.2. *For* $(X_0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1; v_1, w_1) \in \mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n-k, n; 1)$ *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa &= 1 - \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \binom{k+l}{2l+1} \prod_{r=2}^{2l+1} \big((k+l-r)\tau_0 + (k+l-r+1)\tau_1\big) \\ &\quad \times \bigg((k+l-1)\tau_0 + (k+l)\tau_1 + \frac{(n-k^2)(2l+1)}{k+l}(\tau_0+\tau_1)\bigg) y^{-2l-1}x^{-2l-1} \\ &+ \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \binom{k+l}{2l+2} \prod_{r=1}^{2l+1} \big((k+l-r)\tau_0 + (k+l-r+1)\tau_1\big) \\ &\quad \times \bigg((k+l)\tau_0 + (k+l+1)\tau_1 + \frac{(n-k^2)(2l+2)}{k+l}(\tau_0+\tau_1)\bigg) y^{-2l-2}x^{-2l-2}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

We now recall that (see Lemma 3.1) $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n, n-k; 0)$ can be identified with $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau'}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n-k, n; 1)$, where $\tau' = (\tau_1, \tau_0)$. Since this identification is $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(O_\tau)$-equivariant, fixed points are mapped to fixed points under this identification. Hence, we have:

Corollary 7.2. *For the fixed point of* $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n, n-k; 0)$ *corresponding to the fixed point of* $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau'}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n-k, n; 1)$ *under the bijection defined in Proposition 6.3,* κ *is given by* (7.12) *where* τ_0 *and* τ_1 *are reversed.*

7.3. κ for $\mathbb{C}^*$-fixed points of $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n-k, n; 0)$ and $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n, n-k; 1)$.

Proposition 7.3. *For* $(X_0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1; v_1, w_1) \in \mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n-k, n; 0)$ *we have*

$$\kappa = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{2k} B_l\, y^{-l}x^{-l}, \tag{7.13}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_{2l-1} &= -\binom{k+l-1}{2l-1} \prod_{r=2}^{2l-1} \big((k+l-r)\tau_0 + (k+l-r+1)\tau_1\big) \\ &\quad \times \bigg((k+l-1)\tau_0 + (k+l)\tau_1 + \frac{(n-k^2-k)(2l-1)}{k+l-1}(\tau_0+\tau_1)\bigg), \\ B_{2l} &= \binom{k+l}{2l} \prod_{r=1}^{2l-1} \big((k+l-r-1)\tau_0 + (k+l-r)\tau_1\big) \\ &\quad \times \bigg((k+l-1)\tau_0 + (k+l)\tau_1 + \frac{(n-k^2-k)(2l)}{k+l}(\tau_0+\tau_1)\bigg). \end{aligned} \tag{7.14}$$

Similarly to Corollary 7.2, we can conclude:

Corollary 7.3. *For the fixed point of* $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n, n-k; 1)$ *corresponding to the fixed point of* $\mathfrak{M}^{\tau'}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(n-k, n; 0)$ *under the bijection defined in Proposition 6.3,* κ *is given by* (7.13) *where* τ_0 *and* τ_1 *are reversed.*

8. $\mathbb{C}^*$-fixed ideals and their endomorphism rings

Recall that for $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, by transitivity of the G_Γ-action, we have the following decomposition of $\mathcal{R}^{\tau}_{\Gamma}$ into G_Γ-orbits

$$\mathcal{R}^{\tau}_{\Gamma} = \bigsqcup_{(m,n,\epsilon)\in L_\epsilon\times\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathcal{R}^{\tau}_{\Gamma}(m, n; \epsilon)\ .$$

Let $P^{(\epsilon)}_{(m,n)} \in \mathcal{R}^{\tau}_{\Gamma}(m, n; \epsilon)$ be the images, under the bijective map Ω, of $\mathbb{C}^*$-fixed points, defined in Section 6. In this section we give an explicit description of $P^{(\epsilon)}_{(m,n)}$ and its endomorphism ring.

8.1. $\mathbb{C}^*$**-fixed ideals.** Recall that, by Proposition 2.1, there is an algebra isomorphism $\phi : O_\tau(\mathbb{Z}_2) \to A(v)$, where $v(h) = (\tau_0+\tau_1))^2(h+1)(h+\tau_0/(\tau_0+\tau_1))$. Using ϕ we realize ideals of O_τ in $A(v)$.

Lemma 8.1. *Let $f_n(h) := \phi(ey^nx^n)$, where ϕ is defined in Proposition 2.1. Then*

$$f_n(h) = \begin{cases} v(h-1)\cdots v(h-k), & n=2k, \\ (\tau_0+\tau_1)(h-k+1)v(h-1)\cdots v(h-k+1), & n=2k-1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We prove by induction. For $n=1$ it follows from the definition of ϕ. Suppose that the identity holds for all degrees less than n. Then

$$ey^nx^n = ey(y^{n-1}x)x^{n-1}.$$

Repeatedly using $yx = xy - \tau$, we obtain

$$ey^nx^n = ey(xy^{n-1} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} y^i\tau y^{n-2-i})x^{n-1} = (eyx)(ey^{n-1}x^{n-1}) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} ey^{i+1}\tau y^{n-2-i}x^{n-1}.$$

Suppose $n=2k$, then $\sum_{i=0}^{2k-2} ey^{i+1}\tau y^{2k-2-i} = (k(\tau_0+\tau_1)-\tau_0)ey^{2k-1}$. Hence

$$(eyx)(ey^{2k-1}x^{2k-1}) - (k(\tau_0+\tau_0)-\tau_0)ey^{2k-1}x^{2k-1} = (eyx+\tau_0-k(\tau_0+\tau_1))ey^{2k-1}x^{2k-1}\,.$$

Since $\phi(eyx) = (\tau_0+\tau_1)h$, the image of the later expression is

$$(\tau_0+\tau_1)\left(h + \frac{\tau_0}{\tau_0+\tau_1} - k\right)\phi(ey^{2k-1}x^{2k-1}).$$

By induction assumption on $ey^{2k-1}x^{2k-1}$, we obtain

$$(\tau_0+\tau_1)^2\left(h + \frac{\tau_0}{\tau_0+\tau_1} - k\right)(h-k+1)v(h-1)\cdots v(h-k+1) = v(h-k)v(h-1)\cdots v(h-k+1).$$

Analogously one can prove the identity for the case $n=2k-1$. □

Let

$$l(h) := \frac{v(h)}{h+1} = h + \frac{\tau_0}{\tau_0+\tau_1}, \tag{8.1}$$

and define

$$\begin{aligned} s_{n,2k-\epsilon}(h) &:= \prod_{i=1}^{2k-1-\epsilon} l(h-i)\cdot l\big(h-n+(k-\epsilon)(k-1)\big)\,, \\ s'_{n,2k-\epsilon}(h) &:= \prod_{i=1}^{2k-1-\epsilon} (h-i)\cdot l\big(h-n+(k-\epsilon)(k-1)\big)\,. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 8.1. *By identification $\phi : O_\tau(\mathbb{Z}_2) \to A(v)$, we have:*

(a) *For $(n-k,n,\epsilon) \in L_\epsilon \times \mathbb{Z}_2$,*

$$P^{(\epsilon)}_{(n-k,n)} \;\cong\; a^{n-(k-\epsilon)(k-1)}\,A(v) + s_{n,2k-\epsilon}(h)\,A(v)\,.$$

(b) *For $(n,n-k,\epsilon) \in L_\epsilon \times \mathbb{Z}_2$,*

$$P^{(\epsilon)}_{(n,n-k)} \cong a^{n-(k+\epsilon-1)(k-1)+1}\,A(v) + s'_{n,2k+\epsilon-1}(h)\,A(v)\,.$$

Proof. We only prove part (a), since the proof of (b) is identical. Without loss of generality, we may assume $n=k^2+k$ for $\epsilon=0$, and $n=k^2$ for $\epsilon=1$. For simplicity, we also assume that k is even. Then by Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(0)}_{(k^2,k^2+k)} &= ey^{2k^2+k}\,O_\tau + e\kappa_0 x^{2k^2+k}\,O_\tau\,, \\ P^{(1)}_{(k^2-k,k^2)} &= e_1 y^{2k^2-k}\,O_\tau + e_1\kappa_1 x^{2k^2-k}\,O_\tau\,, \end{aligned}$$

since the corresponding matrices X and Y are nilpotent. Here κ_0 is given by (7.13) for $n = k^2 + k$ and κ_1 is given by (7.10). If we multiply $P^{(0)}_{(k^2,k^2+k)}$ by y^{2k} and $P^{(1)}_{(k^2-k,k^2)}$ by y^{2k-1} then $P^{(0)}_{(k^2,k^2+k)} \cong \langle ey^{k(2k+3)}, ey^{2k}\kappa_0 x^{2k^2+k}\rangle$ and $P^{(1)}_{(k^2-k,k^2)} \cong \langle ey^{(k+1)(2k-1)}, ey^{2k-1}\kappa_1 x^{2k^2-k}\rangle$, where $\langle -,-\rangle$ means generated as right O_τ-modules.

First we show

$$\phi(ey^{2k}\kappa_0 x^{2k}) = s_{k^2+k,2k}(h) \text{ and } \phi(ey^{2k-1}\kappa_1 x^{2k-1}) = s_{k^2,2k-1}(h)\,.$$

We prove by induction. Both identities obviously hold for $k = 1$. Suppose that they hold for all degrees less than $2k$. Consider the finite difference operator $\Delta : \mathbb{C}[h] \to \mathbb{C}[h]$ given by $\Delta(f) = f(h+1) - f(h)$. Then it is easy to see that

$$\Delta(s_l(h)) = l(\tau_0 + \tau_1)s_{l-1}(h)\,. \tag{8.2}$$

By (7.13) for $n = k^2 + k$, we have

$$ey^{2k}\kappa_0 x^{2k} = ey^{2k}x^{2k} + B_1 ey^{2k-1}x^{2k-1} + B_2 ey^{2k-2}x^{2k-2} + \cdots + B_{2k}.$$

Applying Δ to the image of ϕ, we get

$$\Delta(\phi(ey^{2k}\kappa_0 x^{2k})) = \Delta(f_{2k}(h)) + B_1\Delta(f_{2k-1}(h)) + B_2\Delta(f_{2k-2}(h)) + \cdots + B_{2k-1}\Delta(f_1(h)).$$

Then, using Lemma 8.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(f_{2i}(h)) &= (\tau_0+\tau_1)^2 v(h-1)\cdots v(h-i+1)\left(2ih - i(i-1) + \frac{i\tau_0}{\tau_0+\tau_1}\right)\\ &= 2i(\tau_0+\tau_1)f_{2i-1} + (\tau_0+\tau_1)^2\left(i(i-1) + \frac{i\tau_0}{\tau_0+\tau_1}\right)f_{2i-2},\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\Delta(f_{2i-1}(h)) = (2i-1)(\tau_0+\tau_1)f_{2i-2} + (\tau_0+\tau_1)^2\left(i(i-1) - \frac{(i-1)\tau_0}{\tau_0+\tau_1}\right)f_{2i-3}\,.$$

It is easy to see that f_{2i-2} appears in $\Delta(ey^{2k}\kappa x^{2k})$ only in $\Delta(f_{2i}(h))$ and $\Delta(f_{2i-1}(h))$. Therefore, since $\{f_i\}$ are linearly independent, the coefficient of f_{2i-2} can be computed as

$$B_{2k-2i}(\tau_0+\tau_1)^2\left(i(i-1) + \frac{i\tau_0}{\tau_0+\tau_1}\right) + B_{2k-2i+1}(2i-1)(\tau_0+\tau_1).$$

Simplifying the later expression, we get that it is equal to $2k(\tau_0+\tau_1)A_{2k-2i+1}$, where A_i is defined as in Corollary 7.1. Similarly, we can show that the coefficient of f_{2i-1} is $2k(\tau_0+\tau_1)A_{2k-2i}$. Therefore we have

$$\Delta(\phi(ey^{2k}\kappa_0 x^{2k})) = 2k(\tau_0+\tau_1)\Big(f_{2k-1} + A_1 f_{2k-2} + \cdots A_{2k-2}f_1 + A_{2k-1}),$$

and hence $\Delta(\phi(ey^{2k}\kappa_0 x^{2k})) = \phi(ey^{2k-1}\kappa_1 x^{2k-1})$. By (8.2) and by the induction assumption $ey^{2k-1}\kappa_1 x^{2k-1} = 2k(\tau_0+\tau_1)P_{2k-1}$, we have

$$\Delta(\phi(ey^{2k}\kappa_0 x^{2k})) = \Delta(s_{2k}(h)).$$

Now comparing constant terms, we get $s_{2k}(0) = B_{2k}$ and hence $\phi(ey^{2k}\kappa_0 x^{2k}) = s_{k^2+k,2k}(h)$. Using similar arguments, we also obtain $\phi(ey^{2k-1}\kappa_1 x^{2k-1}) = s_{k^2,2k-1}(h)$.

Now, applying ϕ to the generators of $P^{(0)}_{(k^2,k^2+k)}$ and $P^{(1)}_{(k^2-k,k^2)}$, we obtain

$$P^{(0)}_{(k^2,k^2+k)} \cong a^{\frac{k(2k+3)}{2}}A(v) + s_{k^2+k,2k}(h)b^{\frac{k(2k-1)}{2}}A(v)$$

and

$$P^{(1)}_{(k^2-k,k^2)} \cong a^{\frac{(k+1)(2k-1)-1}{2}}A(v) + s_{k^2,2k-1}(h)b^{\frac{k(2k-3)}{2}}A(v)\,.$$

Since

$$a^{\frac{k(2k+3)}{2}}\, b^{\frac{k(2k-1)}{2}} = a^{2k} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{k(2k-1)}{2}} v(h-i)$$

and

$$s_{k^2+k,2k}(h)\, b^{\frac{k(2k-1)}{2}}\, a^{\frac{k(2k+3)}{2}} = a^{2k}\, s_{k^2+k,2k}(h+2k) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{k(2k-1)}{2}-1} v(h+i+2k)\,,$$

the greatest common divisor (GCD for short) of the left hand sides is a^{2k} and therefore $a^{2k} \in P^{(0)}_{(k^2,k^2+k)}$. Then $s_{k^2+k,2k}(h)$ divides $a^{2k}b^{2k}$ and hence $s_{k^2+k,2k}(h) \in P^{(0)}_{(k^2,k^2+k)}$. Thus

$$P^{(0)}_{(k^2,k^2+k)} \cong a^{2k}\, A(v) + s_{k^2+k,2k}(h)\, A(v)\,.$$

Similarly, we can show

$$P^{(1)}_{(k^2-k,k^2)} \cong a^{2k-1}\, A(v) + s_{k^2,2k-1}(h)\, A(v)\,. \qquad \square$$

8.2. **Endomorphism rings of $P^{(\epsilon)}_{(m,n)}$.** First we introduce a grading on the algebra $A(v)$ as follows. For $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, put $D(t) = \{c \in A(v) \,|\, h \cdot c - c \cdot h = tc\}$. Then, by (2.6), $A(v) = \oplus_{-\infty}^{\infty} D(t)$, where

$$D(t) = \begin{cases} a^t\, \mathbb{C}[h], & t \geq 0, \\ b^{-t}\, \mathbb{C}[h], & t < 0\,. \end{cases}$$

$A(v)$ is a graded ring, since $D(t_1)D(t_2) \subseteq D(t_1+t_2)$.

Set

$$D^{(\epsilon)}_{m,n} := \mathrm{End}_{A(v)}\big(P^{(\epsilon)}_{m,n}\big). \tag{8.3}$$

Then we have:

Proposition 8.2. (a) *For $(n-k,n) \in L_\epsilon$, $D^{(\epsilon)}_{n-k,n} = \oplus_{-\infty}^{\infty} E(t)$, where $E(t)$ is*

$$\begin{cases} a^t\, \mathbb{C}[h], & \text{for } t \geq n-k(k-\epsilon+1)+1, \\ a^t \cdot l\big(h-n+(k-\epsilon)(k-1)+t\big)\, \mathbb{C}[h], & \text{for } 0 < t \leq n-k(k-\epsilon+1), \\ \mathbb{C}[h], & \text{for } t = 0, \\ b^{-t} \cdot \frac{s_{n,2k}(h+t)}{s_{n,2k}(h)} \cdot l\big(h-n+(k-\epsilon)(k-1)\big)\, \mathbb{C}[h], & \text{for } -n+k(k-\epsilon+1) \leq t < 0, \\ b^{-t} \cdot \frac{s_{n,2k}(h+t)}{s_{n,2k}(h)}\, \mathbb{C}[h], & \text{for } t < -n+k(k-\epsilon+1). \end{cases}$$

(b) *For $(n,n-k) \in L_\epsilon$, $D^{(\epsilon)}_{n,n-k} = \oplus_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(t)$, where $F(t)$ is*

$$\begin{cases} a^t\, \mathbb{C}[h], & \text{for } t \geq n-k(k+\epsilon)+2, \\ a^t \cdot l\big(h-n+(k+\epsilon-1)(k-1)+t\big)\, \mathbb{C}[h], & \text{for } 0 < t \leq n-k(k+\epsilon)+1, \\ \mathbb{C}[h], & \text{for } t = 0, \\ b^{-t} \cdot \frac{s_{n,2k}(h+t)}{s_{n,2k}(h)} \cdot l\big(h-n+(k-\epsilon)(k-1)\big)\, \mathbb{C}[h], & \text{for } -n+k(k+\epsilon)-1 \leq t < 0, \\ b^{-t} \cdot \frac{s_{n,2k}(h+t)}{s_{n,2k}(h)}\, \mathbb{C}[h], & \text{for } t < -n+k(k+\epsilon)-1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We only prove part (a), since the proof of (b) is similar. By Proposition 8.1, $P^{(0)}_{(n-k,n)}$ is generated by homogeneous elements and hence can be presented as $P^{(0)}_{(n-k,n)} = \oplus_{-\infty}^{\infty}(P^{(0)}_{(n-k,n)} \cap D(t))$.

Then $P^{(0)}_{(n-k,n)} \cap D(t)$ is

$$\begin{cases} a^t\,\mathbb{C}[h], & t \geq n-k^2+k, \\ a^t \cdot l(h-n+k^2-k+t)\,\mathbb{C}[h], & 2k-1 \leq t < n-k^2+k, \\ a^t \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{2k-t-1} l(h-i)\,l(h-n+k^2-k+t)\,\mathbb{C}[h], & 1 \leq t \leq 2k-2, \\ b^{-t} \cdot s_{n,2k}(h+t)\,\mathbb{C}[h], & t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Now $c \in D^{(0)}_{n-k,n}$ if and only if $c{\cdot}a^{n-k^2+k}$ and $c{\cdot}s_{n,2k}(h) \in P^{(0)}_{n-k,n}$. Thus $D^{(0)}_{n-k,n} = P^{(0)}_{n-k,n}a^{-n+k^2-k} \cap P^{(0)}_{n-k,n}s^{-1}_{n,2k}(h)$, and since a^{n-k^2+k} and $s_{n,2k}(h)$ are homogeneous elements, $D^{(\epsilon)}_{m,n} = \oplus^{\infty}_{-\infty}E(t)$, where

$$E(t) \;=\; \big(P^{(0)}_{n-k,n} \cap D(t+n-k^2+k)\big) \cdot a^{-n+k^2-k} \cap \big(P^{(0)}_{n-k,n} \cap D(t)\big) \cdot s^{-1}_{n,2k}(h)\,.$$

It follows from (2.6) that $a^{-1} = bv(h{-}1)^{-1}$ and $a^{-n+k^2-k} = b^{n-k^2+k}v(h{-}1)^{-1}\cdots v(h{-}n{+}k^2{-}k)^{-1}$. Then $P^{(0)}_{n-k,n} \cdot a^{-n+k^2-k}$ can be computed as

$$\begin{cases} a^t\mathbb{C}[h], & t \geq 0\,, \\ b^{-t}\,\prod_{i=1}^{-t} v(h-i)^{-1} \cdot l(h-n+k^2-k+t)\,\mathbb{C}[h], & -n+k^2+k-1 \leq t < 0\,, \\ b^{-t}\,\prod_{i=1}^{-t} v(h-i)^{-1} \cdot l(h-n+k^2-k+t) & \\ \quad \times \prod_{i=n-k^2+k+1}^{2k-t-1} l(h-i)\,\mathbb{C}[h], & -n+k^2-k+1 \leq t \leq -n+k^2+k-2\,, \\ b^{-t}\,\prod_{i=1}^{n-k^2+k} v(h-i)^{-1}s_{n,2k}(h+t)\,\mathbb{C}[h], & t \leq -n+k^2-k\,, \end{cases}$$

while $P^{(0)}_{n-k,n} \cdot s_{n,2k}(h)^{-1}$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} a^t \cdot s_{n,2k}(h)^{-1}\,\mathbb{C}[h], & t \geq n-k^2+k\,, \\ a^t \cdot s_{n,2k}(h)^{-1}l(h-n+k^2-k+t)\,\mathbb{C}[h], & 2k-1 \leq t < n-k^2+k\,, \\ a^t \cdot s_{n,2k}(h)^{-1}\,\prod_{i=1}^{2k-t-1} l(h-i)\,l(h-n+k^2-k+t)\,\mathbb{C}[h], & 1 \leq t \leq 2k-2\,, \\ b^{-t} \cdot s_{n,2k}(h)^{-1}s_{n,2k}(h+t)\,\mathbb{C}[h], & t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Now we can compute $E(t)$:

(i) Suppose $t \geq n-k^2-k+1$. For $t \geq n-k^2+k$, it is clear that $E(t) = a^t\,\mathbb{C}[h]$; for $n-k^2-k+1 \leq t < n-k^2+k$, we have

$$h-(2k-1) \leq h-n+k^2-k+t \leq h-1,$$

which means $l(h-n+k^2-k+t)$ divides $s_{n,2k}(h)$, and hence $E(t) = a^t\,\mathbb{C}[h]$.

(ii) Suppose $0 < t \leq n-k^2-k$. In this case

$$h-n+k^2-k < h-n+k^2-k+t \leq h-2k,$$

which implies $l(h-n+k^2-k+t)$ is relatively prime to $s_{n,2k}(h)$. Hence, $E(t) = a^t \cdot l(h-n+k^2-k+t)\,\mathbb{C}[h]$.

(iii) Suppose $t = 0$. It can be easily seen that $E(0) = \mathbb{C}[h]$.

(iv) Suppose $-n+k^2+k \leq t \leq -1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) \;&=\; b^{-t}\,\prod_{i=1}^{-t} v(h-i)^{-1} \cdot l(h-n+k^2-k+t)\,\mathbb{C}[h] \\ &\quad \cap b^{-t} \cdot s_{n,2k}(h)^{-1}s_{n,2k}(h+t)\,\mathbb{C}[h]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{8.4}$$

Recall that we have

$$\frac{s_{n,2k}(h+t)}{s_{n,2k}(h)} \cdot l(h-n+k^2-k) = \frac{\prod_{i=-t+1}^{2k-t-1} l(h-i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{2k-1} l(h-i)} \cdot l(h-n+k^2-k+t) \tag{8.5}$$

and

$$\prod_{i=1}^{-t} v(h-i)^{-1} = \prod_{i=0}^{-t+1} \frac{1}{h-i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{-t} \frac{1}{l(h-i)}\,. \tag{8.6}$$

Now we consider two sub-cases: first $t \le -2k+1$ and then $t > -2k+1$. In the first case, GCD of denominators of (8.5) and (8.6) is the denominator of (8.5). In the second case, we can simplify the multiplier of LHS of (8.5) to

$$\frac{\prod_{i=2k}^{2k-t-1} l(h-i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{-t} l(h-i)},$$

and again the GCD of denominators is the one of (8.5). Thus, we obtain

$$E(t) = b^{-t} \cdot \frac{s_{n,2k}(h+t)}{s_{n,2k}(h)} \cdot l(h-n+k^2-k)\,\mathbb{C}[h]\,.$$

(v) Suppose $t < -n+k^2+k$. Divide this case into three sub-cases : $t = -n+k^2+k-1, -n+k^2-k+1 \le t \le -n+k^2+k-2$ and $t \le -n+k^2-k$.
(a) For $t = -n+k^2+k-1$, $E(t)$ has the same presentation as (8.4) and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{s_{n,2k}(h+t)}{s_{n,2k}(h)} &= \frac{\prod_{i=-t+1}^{2k-t-1} l(h-i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{2k-1} l(h-i)} \cdot \frac{l(h-n+k^2-k+t)}{l(h-n+k^2-k)} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{i=-t+1}^{2k-t-2} l(h-i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{2k-1} l(h-i)} \cdot l(h-n+k^2-k+t)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{8.7}$$

where the last equality follows from $2k-t-1 = n-k^2+k$. So GCD of denominators of (8.6) and (8.7) is the one of (8.7). Hence, we get

$$E(t) = b^{-t} \cdot \frac{s_{n,2k}(h+t)}{s_{n,2k}(h)}\,\mathbb{C}[h]\,. \tag{8.8}$$

(b) Next, for $-n+k^2-k+1 \le t \le -n+k^2+k-2$,

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &= b^{-t} \prod_{i=1}^{-t} v(h-i)^{-1} \cdot l(h-n+k^2-k+t) \prod_{i=n-k^2+k+1}^{2k-t-1} l(h-i)\,\mathbb{C}[h] \\ &\quad \cap b^{-t} \cdot s_{n,2k}(h)^{-1} s_{n,2k}(h+t)\,\mathbb{C}[h]\,, \end{aligned}$$

and (8.8) for this case follows using the same argument as the previous case, once we notice that $-t+1 \le n-k^2+k$.
(c) Finally, the proof of (8.8) for $t \le -n+k^2-k$ is exactly the same as the proof of (iv).
This completes the proof. □

One can easily verify:

Corollary 8.1. *For $k \ge 1$,*

$$D^{(0)}_{k^2,k^2+k} \cong A(w_1)\,, \quad D^{(1)}_{k^2-k,k^2} \cong A(w_2)\,,$$

where

$$w_1(h) := h \cdot l(h-2k-1)\,, \quad w_2(h) := h \cdot l(h-2k)\,.$$

9. Proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. In Proposition 8.2, we gave a description of the endomorphism rings $D^{(\epsilon)}_{m,n}$ of ideals of $O_\tau \cong A(v)$. Recall that Theorem 1.2 claims that $D^{(\epsilon)}_{m,n} \ncong A(v)$ for any $(m,n;\epsilon) \in L_\epsilon \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ unless $(m,n;\epsilon) = (0,0;0)$. Suppose there is an isomorphism $f : A(v) \to D^{(\epsilon)}_{n-k,n}$. By Proposition 8.2, h is strictly semisimple both in $A(v)$ and in $D^{(\epsilon)}_{m,n}$. It is clear that under isomorphism a strictly semisimple element is mapped to a semisimple one. Hence, by [BJ, Proposition 3.26], there is an automorphism Φ of $A(v)$ such that $\Phi(f^{-1}(h)) = \gamma h + \alpha$ for some γ, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore $\Phi \circ f$ is a graded isomorphism. In particular, the image of $a\,\mathbb{C}[h]$ must generate all positive degree elements in $D^{(\epsilon)}_{n-k,n}$. But this is impossible, because $a\,\mathbb{C}[h]$ is mapped to $a\,l(h-n+k^2-2k)\cdot\mathbb{C}[h]$ and hence all elements in the positive degrees in $A(v)$ must be multiple of $l(h-n+k^2-2k)$. Similarly, we can show $D^{(\epsilon)}_{m,n} \ncong A(v)$ for all other cases. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

Before proceeding to the proof of Theorem 1.3, let us recall some basic facts about Picard groups. Let A be a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra. Then the *Picard group* of A, denoted by $\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)$, is the multiplicative group consisting of all bimodule isomorphism classes (X) of invertible bimodules X over A. Multiplication is defined by the formula $(X)\cdot(X') := (X \otimes_A X')$ and the inverse of X is given by $X^* := \mathrm{Hom}_A(X, A)$. By definition, $\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)$ is the group of autoequivalences of $\mathrm{Mod}(A)$, the category of finitely generated right A-modules. In particular, $\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)$ acts on $\mathrm{P}(A)$, the subcategory of finitely generated projective modules over A:

$$\mathrm{P}(A) \times \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{C}}(A) \to \mathrm{P}(A)\,, \quad P \times (X) \mapsto P \otimes_A X\,.$$

The orbits consist of projective modules having isomorphic endomorphism rings. Indeed, by the dual basis lemma P_A is a projective module if and only if $P \otimes_A P^* \cong \mathrm{End}_A(P)$. Then, for $(X) \in \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{End}_A(P \otimes_A X) &\cong (P \otimes_A X) \otimes_A (P \otimes_A X)^* \cong P \otimes_A (X \otimes_A X^*) \otimes_A P^* \\ &\cong P \otimes_A A \otimes_A P^* \cong P \otimes_A P^* \cong \mathrm{End}_A(P). \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that A has no units except nonzero scalars in $\mathbb{C}$ then

$$\omega_A : \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(A) \to \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)\,, \quad \sigma \mapsto {}_1(A)_\sigma\,, \tag{9.1}$$

where ${}_1(A)_\sigma$ means that the right action twisted by σ, is a group monomorphism. The following is a well-known fact (see e.g. [Re, Theorem 37.16]):

Lemma 9.1. *Let $(X), (Y) \in \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)$. Then $X_A \cong Y_A$ if and only if $(Y) \in (X)\cdot\mathrm{Im}(\omega_A)$, that is, if and only if $Y \cong {}_1X_\sigma$ (as bimodules) for some $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)$.*

Using this result Stafford showed (see [S, Corollary E]) that the map ω_{A_1} is a bijection. Theorem 1.3 is about the bijection of ω_{O_τ} and our proof is similar to that of Stafford's.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. As we pointed out above, it is enough to show that ω_{O_τ} is surjective. By Theorem 1.1, for $(m,n) \in L_\epsilon$ the ideals $P^{(\epsilon)}_{(m,n)}$ are representatives of $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(A_1)$-orbits on $\mathrm{P}(O_\tau)$. By Theorem 1.2, the corresponding endomorphism rings $D^{(\epsilon)}_{(m,n)} \ncong O_\tau$, unless $(m,n;\epsilon) = (0,0;0)$ in which case $P^{(\epsilon)}_{(m,n)} \cong O_\tau$. If $(X) \in \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{C}}(O_\tau)$, then as a right O_τ-module X is an ideal of O_τ, and $X \otimes_{O_\tau} X^* \cong O_\tau$. But $X \otimes_{O_\tau} X^* \cong \mathrm{End}_{O_\tau}(X)$ and hence, by Lemma 9.1, $X \in \mathrm{Im}(\omega_{O_\tau})$, which shows that ω_{O_τ} is surjective. □

We would like to finish this section with the following discussion. In the above mentioned statement [S, Corollary E], it was also shown that $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(A_1)$ is an invariant distinguishing A_1 from non-isomorphic algebras Morita equivalent to it. More explicitly, if D is Morita equivalent to A_1 then ω_D is an isomorphism if and only if $A_1 \cong D$. However, this is not the case for O_τ.

Theorem 9.1. *For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let D be either $D^{(0)}_{k^2,k^2+k}$ or $D^{(1)}_{k^2-k,k^2}$. Then ω_D is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let D be a domain Morita equivalent to O_τ. Then $D \cong \mathrm{End}_{O_\tau}(P)$ for some right ideal $P \in \mathcal{R}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. Denote by G_P the stabilizer subgroup of P under the action of $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(O_\tau)$ on $\mathcal{R}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. Then we have the following group homomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(D) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(O_\tau) \hookleftarrow G_P. \tag{9.2}$$

Here the right inclusion is the natural embedding of G_P, and the left inclusion is given by

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(D) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{C}}(D) \cong \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{C}}(O_\tau) \cong \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(O_\tau), \tag{9.3}$$

where the first map is ω_D, the second one is induced from Morita equivalence and the third one is the inverse of ω_{O_τ}. Then it is easy to show (see e.g. [BEE2, Theorem 1]) that the images of $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(D)$ and G_P in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(O_\tau)$ coincide. Now for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the sets $\mathcal{R}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(k^2, k^2+k; 0)$ and $\mathcal{R}^\tau_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(k^2-k, k^2; 1)$ are singletons consisting of the ideals $P^{(0)}_{k^2,k^2+k}$ and $P^{(1)}_{k^2-k,k^2}$ respectively. Hence the stabilizers coincide with $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(O_\tau)$ itself. Therefore both inclusions in (9.2) are bijections, which implies that ω_D is an isomorphism. □

Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 7.1

First we prove the following statement:

Proposition A.1. *For $k \geq 2$ and $0 \leq l \leq k$,*

$$H_{k,2l}(z) := \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^j \frac{(k-j)_l (z+k-j-l)_{k+l}}{(k-j)!\, j!} = \frac{(k+l)!}{(2l)!\,(k-l)!} (z)_{2l}\,.$$

Proof. Recall that the finite difference operator $\Delta[f](z) := f(z+1) - f(z)$ acts on Pochhammer symbols as follows: $\Delta(x+a)_k = k(x+a)_{k-1}$. Hence it suffices to show

$$\Delta^i H_{k,2l}(0) = 0 \ \text{ for } 0 \leq i < 2l, \quad \Delta^{2l} H_{k,2l}(z) = \frac{(k+l)!}{(k-l)!}, \quad \Delta^i H_{k,2l}(z) = 0 \text{ for } i > 2l\,.$$

Indeed, one can easily check that for any $i \geq 0$,

$$\Delta^i H_{k,2l}(z) = (k+l)_i \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^j \frac{(k-j)_l (z+k-j-l)_{k+l-i}}{(k-j)!\, j!}\,.$$

Then $\Delta^i H_{k,2l}(0) = 0$ for $0 \leq i < 2l$, since $(k-j-l)_{k+l-i} = 0$. Next, by taking derivatives from the expansion of $(z-1)^k$:

$$\frac{d^i}{dz^i}(z-1)^k = k! \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^j \frac{(k-j)_i}{(k-j)!\, j!}\, z^{k-j-i},$$

and then evaluating at $z = 1$, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^j \frac{j^i}{(k-j)!\, j!} = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq i < k \ \text{ and } \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{j+k} \frac{j^k}{(k-j)!\, j!} = 1\,.$$

From these identities one can easily derive $\Delta^{2l} H_{k,2l}(z) = \frac{(k+l)!}{(k-l)!}$ and $\Delta^i H_{k,2l}(z) = 0$ for $i > 2l$. □

Proof of Lemma 7.1. We proceed by induction on k. For $k = 2$, we have

$$F_{2,2l}(z) \;=\; z(z+2)\big((1)_l (z)_l - (0)_l (z-1)_l\big) + (z+2)(1)_l (z)_l + z(0)_l (z-1)_l\,.$$

Then $F_{2,0}(z) = 2(z+1)$ and $F_{2,2}(z) = (z+2)_3$, which is exactly our statement for $k = 1$. Assuming it is true for k, we show it for $k+1$. To this end one notes

$$F_{k+1,2l}(z) - F_{k,2l}(z) = (z+2k)\hat{H}_{k,2l}(z),$$

where $\hat{H}_{k,2l}(z)$ is

$$\sum_{m=1}^{k}\big(z+2(k-m)\big)\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^j\,\frac{(z+2k-j-1)_{m-1}}{j!\,(m-j)!}(k-j)_l\,(z+k-j-1)_l+(k)_l(z+k-1)_l.$$

Since $z+2(k-m)=(z+2k-j-m)-(m-j)$, we can express $\hat{H}_{k,2l}(z)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{H}_{k,2l}(z) &= \sum_{m=1}^{k}\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^j\frac{(z+2k-j-1)_m}{(m-j)!\,j!}(k-j)!(z+k-j-1)_l\\
&\quad-\sum_{m=1}^{k}\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}(-1)^j\frac{(z+2k-j-1)_{m-1}}{(m-j-1)!\,j!}(k-j)_l(z+k-j-1)_l+(k)_l(z+k-1)_l\\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{k}\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^j\frac{(z+2k-j-1)_m}{(m-j)!\,j!}(k-j)_l(z+k-j-1)_l\\
&\quad-\sum_{m=0}^{k-1}\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^j\frac{(z+2k-j-1)_m}{(m-j)!\,j!}(k-j)_l(z+k-j-1)_l+(k)_l(z+k-1)_l\\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^j\frac{(z+2k-j-1)_k}{(k-j)!\,j!}(k-j)_l(z+k-j-1)_l\\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^j\frac{(z+2k-j-1)_{k+l}}{(k-j)!\,j!}(k-j)_l\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we see that $\hat{H}_{k,2l}(z)=H_{k,2l}(z+k+l-1)$ and hence by Proposition A.1,

$$F_{k+1,2l}(z)=F_{k,2l}(z)+(z+2k)\frac{(k+l)!}{(2l)!(k-l)!}(z+k+l-1)_{2l}\,,$$

and we are done once we use the induction assumption for $F_{k,2l}(z)$. □

References

[A] J. Alev, *Un automorphisme non modéré de* $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_3)$, Comm. Algebra **14** (8) (1986), 1365–1378.

[AFKKZ] I. Arzhantsev, H. Flenner, S. Kaliman, F. Kutzschebauch and M. Zaidenberg, *Flexible varieties and automorphism groups*, Duke Math. J. **162** (4) (2013), 767–823.

[BGK] V. Baranovsky, V. Ginzburg and A. Kuznetsov, *Quiver varieties and noncommutative* $\mathbb{P}^2$*,* Compositio Math., **134** (2002), no. 3, 283–318.

[Ba] V. V. Bavula, *Generalized Weft algebras and their representations,* Algebra i Analiz, **4** 1992, 75–97.

[BJ] V. Bavula and D. Jordan, *Isomorphism problems and groups of automorphisms for generalized Weyl algebras* Tran. American Math. Soc. **353**, Number 2 (2000), 769–794.

[BEE1] Yu. Berest, A. Eshmatov and F. Eshmatov, *On subgroups of the Dixmier group and Calogero-Moser spaces*, Electronic Research Announcements, **18** (2011), 12–21.

[BEE2] Yu. Berest, A. Eshmatov and F. Eshmatov, *Dixmier groups and Borel subgroups.*, Adv. Math., **286** (2016), 387–429.

[BW1] Y. Berest and G. Wilson, *Automorphisms and ideals of the Weyl algebra,* Math. Ann. **318** (2000), no. 1, 127–147.

[BW2] Y. Berest and G. Wilson, *Ideal classes of the Weyl algebra and noncommutative projective geometry.* With an appendix by Michel Van den Bergh. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2002, no. 26, 1347–1396.

[BL] R. Bocklandt and L. Le Bruyn, *Necklace Lie algebras and noncommutative symplectic geometry*, Math. Z. **240** (2002), 141–167.

[CS] O. Chalykh and A. Silantyev, *KP hierarchy for the cyclic quiver*, arXiv:math/1512.08551[math.QA].

[CB] W. Crawley-Boevey, *Geometry of the Moment Map for Representations of Quivers*, Compos. Math., **126** (2001), 257–293.

[CBH] W. Crawley-Boevey and M. Holland, *Noncommutative deformations of Kleinian singularities*, Duke Math. J. **92** (1998), 605–636.

[D] J. Dixmier, *Sur les algèbres de Weyl*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **96** (1968), 209–242.

[E] F. Eshmatov, *DG-models of projective modules and Nakajima quiver varieties*, Homology, Homotopy and Appl. **9** (2007), 177–208.

[F] O. Fleury, *Sur les sous-groupes finis de* $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}U(sl_2)$ *et* $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}U(\eta)$, J. Algebra **200**, 404–427,1998.

[H1] T. J. Hodges, *Morita equivalence of primitive factors of* $U(\mathrm{sl}(2))$*,* Contemp. Math., **139** (1992), 175–179.

[H2] T. J. Hodges, *Noncommutative deformations of type-A Kleinian singularities,* J. Algebra **161** (1993), 271–290.

[ML1] L. Makar-Limanov, *On automorphisms of Weyl algebra*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **112** (1984), no 3, 359–363.

[ML2] L. Makar-Limanov, *On groups of automorphisms of a class of surfaces*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **69** (2) (1990), 250–256.

[McK] J. McKay, *Graph, singularities and finite groups,* In Procedeengs of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, **37** (1980), 183–186.

[N] H. Nakajima, *Lectures on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, University Lecture Series, vol. **18**, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 1999.

[Re] I. Reiner, *Maximal orders.* Corrected reprint of the 1975 original. With a foreword by M. J. Taylor. London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 28. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003.

[Se] J.-P. Serre, *Trees*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin New York, 1980.

[S] J. T. Stafford, *Endomorphisms of right ideals of the Weyl algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **299** (1987), 623–639.

[Sm1] P. Smith, *An example of a ring Morita equivalent to the Weyl algebra* A_1, J. Algebra, **73** (1981), 552–555.

[Sm2] P. Smith, *A class of algebras similar to the enveloping algebra of* $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **322** (1990), no. 1, 285–314.

[W] G. Wilson, *Collisions of Calogero-Moser particles and an adelic Grassmannian* (with an Appendix by I. G. Macdonald), Invent. Math. **133** (1998), 1–41.

X. Chen and F. Eshmatov : Department of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064 P. R. China

E-mail address: xjchen@scu.edu.cn, olimjon55@hotmail.com

A. Eshmatov: Department of Mathematics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14850, USA

E-mail address: aeshmat@math.cornell.edu

V. Futorny: Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de Saõ Paulo, Caixa Postal 66281, Saõ Paulo, CEP 05315-970, Brasil

E-mail address: futorny@ime.usp.br